State of Florida
2020 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

Florida Department of Economic Opportunity
Small Cities Community Development Block Grant Program

Florida Department of Health
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program

Florida Department of Children and Families
Emergency Solutions Grant Program

Florida Housing Finance Corporation
HOME Partnerships Program
National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) Program

To be submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
DRAFT VERSION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT|OCTOBER XX, 2021

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

This Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) covers the period from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021, which represents the first year of the State of Florida's 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan.

CDBG

The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) Small Cities Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program is composed of four major components: Commercial Revitalization, Economic Development, Housing Rehabilitation, and Neighborhood Revitalization. CDBG projects are funded under the low- and moderate-income (LMI) national objective. This ensures that the needs of LMI persons are the primary focus of Florida Small Cities CDBG-funded projects.

The state's goals and objectives are guided by three outcomes required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD): expanding economic opportunities, providing decent housing, and creating suitable living environments. The Needs Assessment and Market Analysis conducted during the development of the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan determined the priority housing and non-housing development needs within the state.

Since CDBG subgrants are awarded with a two-year timeframe for project completion, which may be further extended, DEO utilizes close out data from subgrants closed during the reporting period to assess annual success in meeting goals and objectives. The Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) reflects the accomplishment and beneficiary data of all closed activities.

HOME

Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC) HOME programs have been administered through three programs: a rental development program, a Tenant Based Rental Assistance program (TBRA) and a down payment assistance program. FHFC funded six new construction rental developments for 236 units for $29.37 million in HOME financing, 91 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers for $2.37 million in

HOME funding and 52 new TBRA recipients for $422 thousand in total TBRA funding.

The table below includes projects expected during the program year, regardless of when the year funding was allocated. Most projects are not completed in the same year funding is allocated.

Housing Trust Fund (HTF)

FHFC administers the HTF program in Florida. HTF is often paired with other FHFC resources to leverage these funds to the maximum extent possible. FHFC funded 6 construction rental developments for 34 units for $7.46 million in HTF financing. These 6 new construction rental developments also are funded with state trust fund dollars, Multifamily Mortgage Revenue Bonds (MMRB) and low income housing tax credits.

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)

The ESG program through the Department of Children and Families (DCF), provides funding to sub-grantees for activities such as the operation of emergency shelters, street outreach and homeless prevention, and rapid re-housing for homeless persons throughout Florida. Each funded component has eligible activities that can be implemented utilizing ESG funding to achieve annual goals and objectives.

The expected goals are outlined in the table below, however, ESG's actual accomplishment data is captured in HUD's SAGE Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Reporting Repository. (HMIS is used as a tool to coordinate and track service delivery among area providers of homeless services.) The data on persons served is collected locally in HMIS and then submitted to the Department of Children and Families for upload into SAGE HMIS. (The ESG CAPER can be found in the attached appendix.) System generated data reflects aggregate data for individuals served with ESG funding.

Housing Opportunites for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA)

The Florida Department of Health (FDOH), HIV/AIDS Section, administers the HOPWA Program. The State HOPWA Program goals are to prevent homelessness of individuals or families with HIV; or if already homeless, to transition the individuals or families back into stable housing as soon as possible as well as to create a strategy for long-term housing stability for persons living with HIV/AIDS. FDOH contracts with local organizations, county health departments, and cities to provide HOPWA services in 14 Ryan White Part B HIV/AIDS Program consortium geographical areas throughout Florida. These areas receive State HOPWA Program funds at the local level for services in 60 of 67 counties. There are approximately 78,574 persons presumed living with HIV/AIDS in the areas the State HOPWA Program serves. The remaining 7 counties not included in the State HOPWA Program service area are eligible metropolitan statistical areas (EMSAs) that receive funding directly from HUD and did not receive State HOPWA Program funds for the 2019 program year (3 out of the 6 city EMSA's received State HOPWA Program funds for the

2019 program year). There are currently 12 federally established EMSA jurisdictions in Florida; 6 of those EMSAs re-designated their funds to the State HOPWA Program every year to be administered by the FDOH and 3 of those EMSAs received State HOPWA Program funds for the 2019 program year.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable Homeownership Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: $2,374,164	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	750	91	12.13%	130	91	70.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: $29,372,891	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	500	236	47.20%	100	236	236.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: $422,608.57	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	250	52	20.80%	50	52	104.00%
Affordable Rental Housing for Extremely Low Income	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HTF: $7,460,600	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	250	34	13.60%	50	34	68.00%

Commercial Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $99,041	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	4,200	7,472	177.90%	840	7472	889.52%
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Benefit	Persons Assisted	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless	CDBG: $0	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate	Persons Assisted	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%

	Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Income Housing Benefit							
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing	CDBG: $0	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%

	Community Development									
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%

Coronavirus Response and Recovery	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $0	Other	Other	TBD	TBD	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $1,073,385	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	275	0	0.00%	55	0	0.00%
Emergency Shelter and Street Outreach	Homeless	ESG: $3,300,209	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	12,370	15,661	126.60%	2000	5,168	258.40%
Housing and Supportive Services	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: $25,257,945	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	750	308	41.07%	150	308	205.33%
Housing and Supportive Services	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: $25,257,945	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	6,000	2,034	33.90%	1200	2,034	169.50%
Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: $5,958,658	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	725	62	8.55%	145	62	42.76%
Neighborhood Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $16,887,839	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other	Persons Assisted	29,400	10,143	34.50%	5,800	10,143	174.88%

			than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit							
Permanent Housing for Homeless Persons	Affordable Housing	ESG: $2,200,139	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0	0.00%	1050	0	0.00%
Rental and Homeownership Activities (CHDOs)	Affordable Housing	HOME: $0	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	125	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Rental and Homeownership Activities (CHDOs)	Affordable Housing	HOME: $0	Other	Other	0	0	0.00%	25	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

CDBG

All HUD-funded projects must meet one of three National Objectives – (1) to primarily benefit LMI persons; (2) to prevent or eliminate slum or blight; or (3) to meet an urgent need. Projects identified as urgent need must show that existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community and other financial resources are not available to meet such needs.

In the Small Cities CDBG program, the highest priority projects identified include job creation; sewer and water systems improvements; housing rehabilitation, including workforce housing; flood and drainage improvements (stormwater projects); and street improvements.

CDBG-Coronavirus (CDBG-CV)

CDBG-CV funds may be used for technical assistance and planning; economic development; public improvements; public services; and other eligible activities based on assessed needs of the communities.

HOME

The national objective target is primarily to benefit LMI persons. All HOME rental activities are for 60 percent Area Median Income (AMI) and below and all TBRA activities are for 30 percent and below. Homeownership activities can assist up to 80 percent AMI. The highest projects identified are the development of affordable housing units and TBRA.

The totals populate once completed in IDIS. FHFC is funding multi-year projects and quite a few were completed during the fiscal year (FY). FHFC is on track to meeting its annual goals as some of the production goals are met. FHFC funded six new construction rental developments for 236 (goal for the year was 100) units for $29.37 million in HOME financing, 91 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers (goal was 130)

for $2.37 million in HOME funding and 52 new TBRA recipients (goal was 50) for $422,000 in funding.

HTF

All units of HTF must serve Extremely Low Income (ELI) households. These households are at 22 percent of AMI. The totals populate once completed in IDIS. FHFC funded 6 construction rental developments for 34 units for $7.46 million in HTF financing.

ESG

All HUD funded projects must serve persons who meet the definition of homelessness or at risk of homelessness as defined in 24 CFR 576.2.

DCF established goals for ESG funding in consultation with local continuum of care (CoC) lead agencies, the federal program focus on rapid re-housing as a best practice model, and the demand from the previous grant cycle. In accordance with 24 CFR 576.100(b)(1), DCF ensures that the funding spent on Street Outreach and Emergency Shelter activities does not exceed 60% of the fiscal year award. Priorities in the solicitation covering the most recent fiscal year included utilizing 40 percent of the funding for rapid re-housing and prevention activities.

The program objectives identified in the solicitation covering fiscal years 18-19, 19-20, and 20-21 were to:

- Create and preserve housing for extremely low-income persons, especially homeless families and persons with special needs;
- Support the operation of emergency shelters to temporarily house persons who are homeless and provide essential services to those sheltered; and
- Carry out street outreach to the unsheltered homeless on the streets, to connect them with local temporary housing and services, allowing person to be safely housed.

HOPWA

The highest priorities for the State HOPWA program are:

- Short-term rent, mortgage and utility (STRMU) payments;

- Tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA);
- Permanent housing placement (PHP) activities;
- Resource identification services;
- Supportive services;
- Short-term transitional housing; and
- Grantee and project sponsor's administrative services.

Accomplishments and distribution of funds during the 2020 program year are as follows: The State HOPWA Program provided services to 2,034 households during the 2020 program year and spent $16,540,840 (including leveraged funds and program income); 715 of the households were served in the prior year. 2,034 households received assistance with TBRA, STRMU, transitional housing, and PHP services. Of the 2,034 households, another 1,069 were beneficiaries that resided in the household who were served for a total of 3,103 individuals assisted.

The State HOPWA Program goal is to increase the percentage of individuals in stable housing by identifying resources to supplement HOPWA client incomes and provide non-HOPWA supportive services. The HOPWA project sponsors estimated that 1,550 households would be served through the State HOPWA Program with priority given to persons with low- income. The actual number of households totaled 2,034 or 131 percent of the estimated goal. Data collected during the 2020 program year reflects that of the 2,034 clients served, 379 are in permanent, stable housing, and 688 are temporarily stable with a reduced risk of homelessness because of HOPWA housing assistance. Of the total clients assisted, 55 chronically homeless are currently in a more stable housing arrangement. This number is an increase from the 29 identified in the previous program year.

The State HOPWA Program's assessment of client stability outcomes resulting from short-term emergency housing assistance is that more than 98 percent of households served are in a stable or temporarily stable housing arrangement. About 2 percent of clients are in unstable housing arrangements. The overall outcomes for this program year reflect that more than three quarters of eligible clients/households can establish and better maintain suitable stable housing; improved accessibility to health care and other support services; and reduced risk of homelessness among individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS.

The State HOPWA Program places emphasis on the connection between housing and health care with appropriate supportive services that are available through the State HOPWA Program and other funding sources, such as the Ryan White Part B HIV/AIDS

Program and state general revenue, in which supportive services that contribute to stable housing are readily available to HOPWA clients.

Coordination with other housing and supportive services agencies was vital in achieving the State HOPWA Program goal to prevent homelessness and provide clients with a stable living situation. HOPWA Project sponsors remain committed to the goal of fostering housing stability for members of the affected community by maintaining a relationship with the local Housing Care Continuum and the Homeless Coalition. The State HOPWA Program contractually requires each sub-recipient/sub-contractor to designate a representative to participate in the local homelessness planning process and provide local homelessness advocates with information about HOPWA as needed. Also, by coordinating HOPWA services through the Ryan White Part B HIV/AIDS Program consortia and planning bodies, HOPWA clients are afforded access to supportive services funds under the Ryan White Part B HIV/AIDS Program and state general revenue programs including, but not limited to, medical care, transportation, insurance, dental, counseling services, emergency financial assistance, and housing.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG	HTF
White	13,909	220	873	6,801	11
Black or African American	2,842	225	979	5,006	5
Asian	154	6	5	31	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	41	0	1	71	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	10	0	3	21	0
Total	**16,956**	**451**	**1,861**	**11,930**	**16**
Hispanic	1,741	22	347	272	1
Not Hispanic	15,936	429	1,514	11,062	15

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CDBG

The CDBG numbers above reflect the race and ethnicity of the individuals who benefitted from Neighborhood Revitalization, Commercial Revitalization, and Housing Rehabilitation projects.

The beneficiaries included in the chart are based on 23 administrative close outs received during the 2020 program year. Specific categories not included in the table above are:

- Other/Multi-Racial – 627 individuals
- African American/White – 34 individuals
- American Indian or Alaskan Native/White – 10 individuals

HOME

The numbers reflected are the race and ethnicity of HOME assisted individuals in completed projects for this reporting period.

HTF

The numbers reflected are the race and ethnicity of HTF assisted individuals in completed projects for this reporting period.

ESG

These numbers examine the racial and ethnic demographics for individuals served through ESG funding. These numbers are also available in an attached appendix. The racial demographics for individuals served through ESG funding includes the following: 6,801 White; 5,006 Black or African American; 31 Asian; 71 American Indian or Alaskan Native; and 21 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. Additional racial demographics were provided that were not included in the table above: 488 Multiple Races; 47 Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused; 79 Data Not Collected. Ethnicity demographic data for individuals served through ESG funding includes the following: Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino 11,062; Hispanic/Latino 272. Ethnicity demographic data not reported in the table above includes: 19 Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused; and 130 Data Not Collected.

HOPWA

The numbers reflected are the race and ethnicity of HOPWA eligible individuals assisted through allowable housing activities for this reporting period.

Categories not included are:

- Other/multi-racial – 10 individuals
- Transgender Male to Female – 26 individuals
- Transgender Female to Male – 1 individual

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	$36,018,975	$24,818,089
HOME	public - federal	$39,555,528	$18,105,017
HOPWA	public - federal	$15,270,609	$9,509,047
ESG	public - federal	$6,945,208	$6,895,472
HTF	public - federal	$11,149,400	$4,672,965
Other CDBG-CV	public - federal	$92,982,505	$0

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

CDBG

For program year 2020, the resources made available for CDBG include: funds allocated by HUD, program income, and recaptured funds, if applicable. Since CDBG grants are awarded with a two-year timeframe for project completion, which may be extended further, DEO utilizes close out data from grants closed during the reporting period to assess annual success in meeting goals and objectives. The IDIS reflects the accomplishment and beneficiary data of all closed activities. The resources made available for 2020 were $36,018,975.

CDBG-CV

During program year 2019, CDBG-CV funding resources were also allocated by HUD. The CDBG-CV programs are designed to help local governments prepare for, prevent, or respond to the health and economic impacts of COVID-19. These funds were incorporated into the 2019 Annual Action Plan by substantial amendment. Because CDBG-CV grants have not yet been awarded, close out data on grants to assess annual success in meeting goals and objectives is not available. DEO expects to award grants within the 2021 program year. The resources that were made available for the program year 2019 were $41,931,595 for the Small Cities Community Program and $51,050,910 for the Entitlement Community Program.

HOME

HOME funded six new multifamily development in FY 2020 for $29.37 million. These units will be under construction during FY 2020. HOME assisted 91 homeowners with down payment assistance for $2.37 million in funding and 34 TBRA recipients for $422 thousand in total TBRA funding. Over the course of FY 2021, $14.3 million was disbursed to multifamily units under construction. The resources made available for 2021 was $42.9 million.

HTF

FHFC administers the HTF program in Florida. HTF is often paired with other FHFC resources to leverage these funds to the maximum extent possible. FHFC funded 6 construction rental developments for 34 units for $7.46 million in HTF financing. These 6 new construction rental developments also are funded with a combination of state trust fund dollars, multifamily mortgage revenue bonds and low income housing tax credits.

ESG

A total of $6,945,208 was available under the ESG program to be awarded for the program year. ESG funds were allocated to sub-providers to carryout eligible activities and a total of $6,895,472 of these funds have been expended during the most recent program year.

HOPWA

HOPWA resources made available ($15,270,609) and expended ($9,509,047) during the 2020 program year include leveraged funds, program income (e.g. repayments), HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, transitional housing, STRMU, PHP), supportive services (case management), and grantee and project sponsor administration and resource identification.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
STATEWIDE	100		

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

CDBG

DEO does not allocate Small Cities CDBG funding resources geographically. Each year, a Notice of Funding Availability is published inviting eligible non-entitlement local governments to submit applications for funding consideration in four program areas: Economic Development, Housing Rehabilitation, Neighborhood Revitalization, and Commercial Revitalization.

The local government must conduct a public hearing to receive input from the public on what they consider to be the community's highest priority needs. Based on this information, the local government selects a project for funding and prepares an application for one of the four program areas (a local government can submit two applications if one of them is for economic development funding).

The local government must conduct a second public hearing to allow its residents to comment on the proposed activities included in the drafted application. The local government will then finalize the application and submit it to DEO. CDBG staff will review the applications received in each program area and rank them from the highest to the lowest score. Funding is awarded from the highest to the lowest ranked application until all funds are awarded.

CDBG-CV

DEO will not allocate CDBG-CV Small Cities funding resources geographically. DEO will publish a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) in the Florida Administrative Register prior to the opening of the funding cycle(s). This NOFA will inform Florida residents of the availability of CDBG-CV funding and the opening and closing date of the funding cycle(s). CDBG-CV Small Cities program subgrants will then be awarded to FFY 2020 CDBG-eligible communities on a competitive basis.

For the CDBG-CV Entitlement program, funds will be allocated based on an allocation formula that acknowledges a baseline level of housing and community development needs and accounts for geographic differences in COVID-19 impacts (both health-related and economic impacts). Eligible entitlement communities may apply for funding up to the amount available per the funding formula. CDBG-CV Entitlement program subgrants will be awarded based on a review of applications for eligible activities and subrecipient capacity to administer programs efficiently, effectively, and compliantly.

HOME

During the program year, FHFC awarded 7 developments from 1 Requests for Application (RFA) for HOME projects. The RFA was open to

Applicants proposing the construction of affordable housing utilizing HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME-rental) Program funding for the construction of small rural developments, FHFC had goals to fund 1 CHDO. The RFA's intent was to fund developments in various locals so a County Award Tally was included in the ranking criteria whereas the first eligible application in a county is funded and for a second eligible application in that county to be funded would mean that no other eligible applications in different counties remain. These 7 developments were awarded $39.55 million in HOME Funding and will create 205 new units in rural areas.

HTF

FHFC did not allocate funding geographically for the HTF Funding. To ensure that FHFC awards funds throughout the state as much as possible, the competitive application, like many of FHFC'S other competitive applications, included a County Award Tally in the ranking criteria. This means the first eligible application in a county is funded and, for a second eligible application in that county to be funded, no other eligible applications in different counties remain.

ESG

DCF does not allocate funding geographically, but rather through a competitive solicitation among the HUD designated CoC lead agencies. Priority is given to CoC lead agencies that do not receive direct awards from HUD for ESG program activities. The funds may be used throughout the state on eligible ESG funded projects.

HOPWA

The State HOPWA Program's annual area funding allocation is based on the current data available for the cumulative number of reported living HIV/AIDS cases in the geographical areas, each area's proportionate share of the cumulative number of living HIV/AIDS cases, utilization rates, and available funds. FDOH drafted a Request for Proposal consisting of 2 3-year contract cycles in which 2019-2020 was the 1st year of the 2nd contract cycle. Program funds were distributed geographically according to the Florida 2019 Annual Action Plan.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state, and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG

Although DEO does not require matching funds, leveraging is encouraged by awarding additional points to competitive applications. Of the 23 subgrants closed during the 2020 reporting period, $2,782,851.39 in other funds were leveraged by the Small Cities CDBG program recipients and participating parties.

Most of the leveraged funds were used for neighborhood revitalization projects that provided needed infrastructure to communities. Local funds, as well as loan and grant funds from other government agencies, are often committed to infrastructure projects to maximize benefit to the community.

The State of Florida budgets state trust fund revenue to match administrative dollars. Based on the 2020 Annual Action Plan, $687,784 in state trust fund revenue will be used to match HUD funds.

HOME

FHFC awarded 7 developments from one RFA during FY 2021. For the awarded developments in the RFA, a scoring criteria was linked the amount of match each development included in their financing. This match was limited to cash match and included state appropriations, housing trust funds, private donations or below market interest rate loans described within the RFA.

HTF

FHFC leveraged HTF funds with three different sources of financing. Included in the competitive solicitation were State Apartment Incentive Loan (SAIL) funds that are generated from the State of Florida's Housing Trust Fund, Tax-Exempt Bond Financing and 4% Non-Competitive Housing Tax Credits. $35 million was awarded in SAIL funding and $49 million was awarded in bond financing. The

4% housing tax credits automatically accompany the bond financing.

ESG

Under the ESG program, there is no leverage requirement, however, recipients are required to match dollar-for-dollar, the amount of the ESG award per 24 CFR 576.201. The state passes the balance of the match requirement to the recipients of its ESG funds.

HOPWA

Although the Florida State HOPWA Program does not require matching funds, leveraging is strongly encouraged by FDOH. Approximately $7,253,699 in leveraged funds were primarily used for additional housing activities, including permanent housing placement, case management, and other supportive services to address the emergency and long-term housing needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS in the service areas. Leveraged funds from the Ryan White Part B HIV/AIDS Program and state general revenue, as well as other resources (e.g. other community-based organizations, grants, in-kind resources, client contributions toward rent/deposits) were used for the additional housing activities during the 2019 program year.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	$25,367,029
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	$1,204,000
3 .Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	$26,571,029
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	$2,558,930
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	$24,012,099

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
12794	7/9/2020						$462,000	$462,000
12816	8/11/2020						$742,000	$742,000

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period $	Amount received during reporting period $	Total amount expended during reporting period $	Amount expended for TBRA $	Balance on hand at end of reporting period $
$19,283,901.92	$12,168,307.20	$6,862,604.51	$0.00	**$24,589,604.61**

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period

	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Number	10	0	0	0	0	10
Dollar Amount	32,556,068.79	0	0	0	0	32,556,068.79
Sub-Contracts						
Number	67	1	0	2	9	55
Dollar Amount	7,476,412.00	3,302,106.00		194,758.00	1,269,964.00	2,709,584.00

	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male
Contracts			
Number	10	0	10
Dollar Amount	32,556,068.79	0	32,556,068.79
Sub-Contracts			
Number	67	5	62
Dollar Amount	7,476,412.00	591,989.00	6,884,423.00

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	8	0	0	0	0	8
Dollar Amount	37,265,191.00	0	0	0	0	37,265,191.00

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition		
Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	**Total**	**Minority Property Enterprises**				**White Non-Hispanic**
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	**Asian or Pacific Islander**	**Black Non-Hispanic**	**Hispanic**	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	70	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	712	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	34	0
Total	**816**	**0**

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	325	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	240	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	145	62
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	**710**	**0**

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The annual goals identified in the table above were established in the Five-Year Consolidated Plan.

CDBG

DEO's assessment of goals and objectives is based on completing projects that meet the national objective to benefit LMI individuals and households. During the 2020 program year, the one year goal for the rehabilitation of existing units was 145 and the reported outcomes totaled 62 units. Since subgrants cover a two-year period, and outcomes are reported annually based on closeouts received during the program year, the difference between the annual goal and the program year outcomes will fluctuate. Some years will reflect exceeding the annual goal and some will show unmet annual goals. During the 2020 program year, only 4 housing rehabilation projects were closed out, so the reported outcomes are significantly less than the one-year goal.

HOME

The totals populate once completed in IDIS. FHFC is funding multi-year projects and quite a few were completed during the fiscal year (FY). FHFC is on track to meeting its annual goals, as many of the productions goals are complete. FHFC funded six new construction rental development for 236 (goal for the year was 100) units for $29.37 million in HOME financing, 91 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers (goal was 130) for $2.37 million in HOME funding and 52 new TBRA recipients (goal was 50) for $442 thousand in total TBRA funding.

HTF

The totals populate once completed in IDIS. FHFC is funding multi-year projects. Once these developments finish construction and begin to lease to qualified tenants, FHFC expects to meet goals. FHFC funded 6 construction rental developments for 34 units for $7.46 million in HTF financing. These 6 new construction rental developments also are funded with state trust fund dollars, MMRB and low income housing tax credits.

ESG- Not applicable.

HOPWA- Not applicable.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

CDBG

DEO is working to achieve its goal of providing housing for extremely-low, low-, and moderate- income working households. During program years 2015-2019, DEO proposed a goal to complete the rehabilitation of 600 housing units. DEO exceeded that goal by rehabilitating 659 housing units. Future annual action plans may include increased goals to reflect the type of success that has been experienced in previous program years.

HOME/HTF

As the rental developments are completed and the program outcomes are provided from the current fiscal year, the projection goals made at the start of the plan will show they were obtained. FHFC expects future year outcomes to support all goals going forward. FHFC funded two new construction rental development s for 106 units for $9.99 million.

ESG- Not applicable.

HOPWA- Not applicable.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	30	23	16
Low-income	12	166	0
Moderate-income	20	132	0
Total	**62**	**321**	**16**

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative

CDBG

The CDBG actual numbers indicated in Table 13 above reflect income levels for persons served by four (4) housing rehabilitation projects.

HOME/HTF

The HOME TBRA and HOME rental programs serve 60 percent AMI and under. These two programs account for most of the households served in FY 2020. As the rental developments lease-up and complete, the outcomes will support the goals provided at the beginning of this plan. All units of HTF must serve Extremely Low Income (ELI) households. These households are at 22 percent of AMI.

ESG - Not applicable to table 13.

HOPWA - Not applicable to table 13.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

CDBG - Not applicable.

HOME/HTF - Not applicable.

ESG

The ESG program utilized the Street Outreach component under 24 CFR 576.101 "to reach out to unsheltered homeless people; connect them with emergency shelter, housing, or critical services; and provide urgent non-facility-based care to unsheltered homeless people who are unwilling or unable to access emergency shelter, housing, or an appropriate health facility." The activities allowed under 24 CFR 576.101 include the following: engagement; case management; emergency health services; emergency mental health services; transportation; and services for special populations (homeless youth, victim services, and services for people living with HIV/AIDS). Unsheltered homeless people is defined in 24 CFR 576.2(1)(i) as "an individual or family with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground." Additionally, CoC lead agencies work with local service providers to address the needs of the homeless in their respective areas. This includes working with mainstream providers to assess and provide individual needs.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

CDBG - Not applicable.

HOME/HTF - Not applicable.

ESG

The ESG program utilizes funding under the emergency shelter component to provide temporary shelter and essential services while in shelter to homeless persons throughout the local CoCs. Providing shelter is accomplished through assessing the individual needs at intake using a coordinated assessment system as directed by HUD and moving quickly to house individuals and families in search of services. Area providers work through the coordinated intake system to provide an array of services to address the needs of the homeless.

HOPWA

The State HOPWA Program utilizes funding under the transitional housing line item to transition homeless individuals with HIV/AIDS into short-term facilities (e.g., motel/hotel, boarding house). HOPWA project sponsors work within federal and state regulations to initiate assistance for the movement from transitional housing to permanent, stable housing.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

CDBG - Not applicable.

HOME/HTF - Not applicable.

ESG

The local CoC lead agencies work to establish a network with local providers of mental health and substance abuse services to connect homeless persons with needed services. In addition, behavioral health managing entities and community substance abuse and mental health providers work closely with CoCs to link providers of the coordinated entry system to help identify available beds through HMIS. Service providers are part of the CoCs' network and work with service providers to help identify the needs of area homeless persons.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

CDBG - Not applicable.

HOME

FHFC implemented a pilot to demonstrate the use of short-term rental assistance to assist homeless families with school age children in rural and small communities. This pilot is to study a strategy for effectively distributing and utilizing its affordable housing resources in small counties and rural communities that have different needs and capacities compared to larger counties and communities. The Pilot's objective is to evaluate the use of HOME funds for short-term tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA) paired with Department of Education homeless education resources and community-based supportive services to help these families regain stability and self-sufficiency, as well as keep the children on track with their education. This pilot proved to be effective and Florida issued a Request for Qualifications for additional areas in Florida to utilize this program.

HTF

FHFC has used HTF in RFAs proposing the development of housing for Homeless individuals and families that also includes a portion of units for Persons with Special Needs located in Medium and Large Counties. The intent of these RFAs was to help communities address the significant need for housing for some of their most vulnerable individuals that are homeless or living in more restrictive settings due to the lack of stable housing and coordinated access to appropriate community-based healthcare and supportive services.

ESG

The local CoCs assess both the average and median lengths of stay in shelter and, through the CoC plan, identify areas in which the local service providers can address the length of shelter stay based on available resources and the number of persons seeking shelter; and how to better utilize the system to provide quality care and services for the homeless. This information is collected at the CoC level and examined along with other System Performance Measures.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

CDBG

No applications for public housing funding were received from eligible local governments.

HOME

FHFC contracted with Milton Housing Authority to pilot a new program together with the Santa Rosa School District and the EscaRosa Coalition for the Homeless. This initiative aims to assist homeless families who have children enrolled in the Santa Rosa School District access suitable rental housing by providing TBRA assistance and supportive services. Four new Housing Authorities were added in to this pilot; Hernando County Housing Authority, Panama City Housing Authority, Punta Gorda Housing Authority and Alachua County Housing Authority.

HTF – Not applicable.

ESG - Not applicable.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

CDBG - Not applicable.

HOME

Public housing residents are eligible to participate in homebuyer and down payment assistance programs offered through FHFC if they meet all eligibility requirements.

HTF - Not applicable.

ESG - Not applicable.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

CDBG - Not applicable.

HOME/HTF - Not applicable.

ESG - Not applicable.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

CDBG

A stakeholder survey was conducted as part of the Consolidated Plan process that asked Florida stakeholders to identify barriers to affordable housing choice. In general, local regulations and policies were considered to be bigger barriers to affordable and fair housing than state regulations. The top regulatory barrier identified by stakeholders was "Overly restrictive local land use and zoning regulations that limit development of affordable housing". These barriers either prohibit or raise the cost of housing development that might otherwise have the potential to provide affordable units to the many who need it. Though many of the barriers identified are outside the purview of the CDBG program, DEO will continue to allocate federal and state resources to affordable housing through the housing rehabilitation funding category. In addition, the state will increase housing choice through its actions to affirmatively further fair housing choice including:

- Publishing fair housing materials on agency websites in multiple languages,
- Coordinating with the Florida Commission on Human Relations to conduct annual workshops on fair housing,
- Requiring grant recipients to conduct quarterly fair housing activities, and
- Employing a local fair housing coordinator to address complaints.

HOME - Not applicable.

HTF - Not applicable.

ESG - Not applicable.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

DEO serves the needs of its subgrantees through awarding grants. The grant applications submitted by these local governments are based on comments received at public hearings which allow citizens to identify priority community needs and submit eligible projects for funding consideration.

HOME/HTF

FHFC serves on the State Council on Homelessness and provides two important ways local governments and emerging nonprofits can learn more about and receive support on affordable housing development issues. The State Catalyst program provides training and technical assistance on federal and state affordable housing programs, including HOME and HTF. FHFC contracts with a nonprofit provider for this service. The Predevelopment Loan program provides revolving loan funds to emerging nonprofits wanting to get into housing development, as well as PHAs interested in housing development and redevelopment. The program provides predevelopment loan funding to get a project started, as well as technical assistance at no cost to the organization.

ESG - not applicable.

HOPWA

Coordination with other housing and supportive services agencies helps address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. HOPWA project sponsors maintain relationships with their local Housing Care Continuum and the Homeless Coalition, and have representatives to participate in their local homelessness planning process and provide local homelessness advocates with information about HOPWA as needed. Also, there is coordination with the Ryan White Part B HIV/AIDS Program consortia and planning bodies.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

DEO requires that all applications for housing rehabilitation projects include a determination of the age of the house. Any home constructed

before January 1, 1978 must be tested for lead-based paint and appropriate measures must be undertaken to safely remove and dispose of the paint in accordance with HUD requirements.

HOME/HTF

Currently FHFC only funds new construction with HOME and HTF.

ESG

Requires recipients of funds under the Emergency Shelter component (24 CFR 576.102), the Homelessness Prevention component (24 CFR 576.103), and the Rapid Rehousing Assistance component (24 CFR 576.104) to comply with lead paint requirements under the 24 CFR 576.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

Florida's anti-poverty strategies are carried out through programs administered by several state agencies. Agency rules and related regulations set out the goals and objectives of the programs.

DEO provides Reemployment Assistance, job search and career planning assistance, and resources to assist employers and entrepreneurs with establishing businesses in Florida and creating jobs. The Small Cities CDBG program funds economic development projects that require 51 percent (51%) of the jobs created be made available to LMI workers. If skills above a high school education are necessary, job training is required at no expense to the employee and will be the responsibility of the subgrantee or the participating party.

HOME/HTF

Pursuant to HUD regulations 24 CFR Part 135, FHFC carries out activities and procedures to ensure that employment and other economic opportunities generated by HOME funds to the greatest extent feasible and consistent with existing federal, state and local laws and regulations, be directed to low- and extremely low-income persons, particularly those who are recipients of government assistance for housing and to

business concerns which provide economic opportunities to low- and extremely low- income persons. Recipients will be encouraged to make efforts to reach Section 3 residents and business concerns through employment, training opportunities and contracting.

ESG

The program encourages self-sufficiency and income growth to participants of the ESG program as a means of reducing the potential to return to homelessness or risk of homelessness, which is also a key System Performance Measure assessed by HUD.

HOPWA **- N**ot applicable.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

The CDBG program is a unit within the Bureau of Small Cities and Rural Communities, a unit within DEO's Division of Community Development. Small Cities CDBG staff includes two program managers, ten grant managers, one contract specialist, three environmental review specialists, two technical assistant/reporting specialists, and three staff assistants.

The Small Cities CDBG staff lead annual workshops to educate eligible communities on the application process and implementation of subgrant activities. Additionally, Small Cities CDBG staff have introduced new workshops throughout the year to help subgrantees learn about DEO's new CDBG-CV programs and educate them on the changes made to the state program. With the addition of new CDBG programs and staff-led training workshops, the number of staff has not been sufficient to implement the Small Cities CDBG program and the CDBG-CV programs. To address this need, the Bureau intends to hire five additional grant managers and one additional staff assistant to support the implementation of the Small Cities CDBG program and both CDBG-CV programs.

HOME/HTF

The daily operations of the HOME Investment Partnerships program (HOME) and the Housing Trust Fund (HTF) is in the Homeownership Department of Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC). The Assistant Director of Homeownership and two Federal Loan Program Managers are the daily contacts and serve as Grant Managers for the program with additional oversight provided by the Director of Homeownership and the Executive Director of FHFC. Additionally, FHFC contracts with three service entities which provide a suite of services including credit

underwriting, construction and permanent loan servicing and compliance monitoring for FHFC's Rental and Homeownership portfolios. FHFC also contracts with three environmental firms to provide the environmental assessments. The grant managers work closely with the contracted servicer and environmental providers, review all documents and ensure all conditions are adequately adhered to during the development of the housing. FHFC contracts with three firms to provide all the legal and closing documents for our funded developments. Two Multifamily Programs staff members also provide an additional level of review of credit underwriting and loan closing documents to ensure compliance with all applicable state and federal requirements. The grant managers enter all required set-up and funding information into the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS) while the Loan Servicing Department (consisting of six staff) handles the responsibility of the drawdowns. FHFC's Quality Assurance Department (two staff) monitors its contracted service providers to ensure compliance with the contractual obligations to FHFC as well as state and federal laws and regulations, FHFC rules and procedures. FHFC also has an Asset Management Department consisting of eight staff that works closely with its contracted Compliance Monitors to verify funded developments are providing safe, decent, affordable housing by monitoring financial, physical and occupancy compliance with regulatory documents.

ESG

ESG funding is awarded to local CoC lead agencies. By following the HUD model for awarding funds to the CoC lead agencies, Florida ensures sub-recipients incorporate projects into the CoC with consistent guidance, structure, and program oversight that is consistent with CoC federal funds that flow into each community.

HOPWA - not applicable.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG - Not applicable.

HOME/HTF

As part of FHFC's RFA process, specifically when targeting homeless or special needs individuals, applicants are required to describe the nature of any partnerships with the Local Homeless Assistance Continuum of Care lead agency and members as well as other relevant linkages with lead agencies or service providers that are key to helping the intended households maintain stability in the community.

ESG

Program requirements of the ESG program require the local CoCs to establish and utilize a coordinated entry system, which requires coordination and collaboration among service providers to address the needs of individuals at risk of or currently experiencing homelessness. Local PHA's are encouraged to participate in the coordinated care system as a means of addressing the needs of the homeless or those at risk of becoming homeless.

HOPWA - Not applicable.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

CDBG, HOME, HTF, ESG and HOPWA

Activities related to the suggested solutions to overcome the perceived impediments that can be implemented through DEO, the Florida Department of Health, the Florida Department of Children and Families and the Florida Housing Finance Corporation include publishing fair housing resource materials on agency websites in multiple languages, coordinating with the Florida Commission on Human Resources in conducting annual workshops on fair housing, requiring certain grant recipients to conduct quarterly fair housing activities and having a local fair housing coordinator to address complaints.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

HOME/HTF

FHFC contracts with three servicer entities which provide a suite of services including Credit Underwriting, Construction and Permanent Loan Servicing (including Federal Labor Standards Monitoring) and Compliance Monitoring for FHFCs Rental and Homeownership portfolios. FHFC's Quality Assurance Department monitors its contracted service providers (including the 3 servicer entities) to ensure compliance with the contractual obligations to FHFC as well as state and federal laws and regulations. FHFC also has an Asset Management Department, which works closely with its contracted Compliance Monitors to verify funded developments are providing safe, decent, affordable housing by monitoring financial, physical and occupancy compliance with regulatory documents.

The initial management review for rehabilitation/acquisition developments, which have units occupied at the time of loan closing, is conducted prior to or shortly after loan closing. The initial management review for new construction/rehabilitation/acquisition developments, with no units occupied at the time of loan closing, is conducted within 120 days following the leasing of any HOME or HTF units. Subsequent management reviews of HOME developments are conducted according to HUD regulation 24 CFR 92.504(d), subsequent reviews of HTF developments are governed by 24 CFR 93.404(d). On-site inspections of HOME or HTF assisted rental housing, are required throughout the period of affordability.

The management review and physical inspection includes a report on the following:

- Examination of Tenant Files;
- Administrative Procedures (including tenant selection, security, program reporting and insurance requirements);
- Physical Inspection includes;
  - Interior Items;
  - Exterior Items;
  - Miscellaneous Items (development amenities);
  - Visual Inspection; and-Miscellaneous Observations.

During the period from July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021, Florida Housing, under normal circumstances, would have scheduled 143 on-site inspections of HOME developments and two (2) HTF developments. However, due to COVID-19, physical inspections scheduled on or after 3/20/2020 were postponed until 9/30/2021. As a result, no on-site, physical inspections were conducted during the report period. Examination of tenant files and administrative/management records were conducted as desk reviews after 3/20/2020. Beginning 9/20/2020, desk reviews were postponed so that compliance monitors could begin full-scale implementation and compliance montioring of the state's CARES Act rental assistance program.

HOPWA

The FDOH contracts with cities and local community-based organizations, and has agreements with local county health departments to provide HOPWA services in 60 of 67 Florida counties. HUD has developed and provided clear guidance to HOPWA grantees and project sponsors (includes community-based organizations, cities, and county health departments) on HUD expectations in terms of monitoring provider performance, which are applicable to community-based organizations, cities, and county health departments, in the *HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide*.

The HUD monitoring elements from the *HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide* applicable to the housing activities provided through the State HOPWA Program have been incorporated into the State HOPWA Program Contract Monitoring Tool to assess HOPWA project sponsors' adherence to HOPWA federal regulations in implementing the HOPWA program.

Ultimately, the HOPWA grantee is responsible for all project activities and project sponsors funded with HOPWA, as well as responsible for ensuring that their respective HOPWA project sponsors carry out activities in compliance with all applicable requirements in 24 CFR, Part 574.500(a). Effective management and oversight is fundamentally a collaborative process among the HOPWA grantee, HOPWA project sponsor, and HUD, with all entities working towards achieving program goals. The primary objective is to establish a constructive relationship that allows the HOPWA grantee, the HOPWA project sponsor, and HUD to work together to manage limited resources and nurture quality housing programs for low-income individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS.

Ongoing oversight and performance assessments helps the HOPWA grantee and the HOPWA project sponsor ensure that projects are effective and that HOPWA project sponsors are running them in compliance with HOPWA program guidelines. With active oversight of performance, financial systems, and specific activities, the HOPWA grantee can determine if a project is effectively meeting the housing-related needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS in a community. The HOPWA grantee performs two types of monitoring: desk monitoring where financial and other information may be reviewed via mail/e-mail or by performing on-site monitoring visits.

When scheduled, Florida State HOPWA Program staff perform an on-site monitoring visit. Upon their arrival, an appropriate space is provided by the HOPWA project sponsors that allows for review of confidential client files, interviews with agency staff, and reviews of any documentation that was not provided prior to the monitoring visit. It should be noted that desk monitoring as well as on-site monitoring may be scheduled at any time to ensure compliance. However, due to COVID-19, desk monitorings along with conference calls/web meetings had to be done in lieu of on-site monitoring visits during the latter part of the 2019 program year.

The FDOH has a comprehensive contract management process that ensures contracting methods are administratively efficient and clear to contract managers/staff. State HOPWA Program contract monitoring must be in accordance with federal and state requirements as previously referenced. FDOH contract managers at the local level are responsible for enforcing HOPWA contract terms and conditions, including monitoring HOPWA project sponsors for compliance with performance standards, Florida Statutes, federal regulations, and FDOH policy. The FDOH's process encourages optimal HOPWA project sponsor performance. Contracted HOPWA project sponsors are required to have an on-site monitoring by the contract manager at least once during the contract year. A written report is submitted to HOPWA project sponsors clearly identifying strengths, weaknesses, and areas of concern. Adverse findings are addressed with corrective action plans or other appropriate measures. Corrective action requirements are specific with timeframes and suggested methods for correction. The FDOH staff provides follow-up site visits and technical assistance. Non-compliance with required corrective action(s) may result in delayed or no reimbursements for HOPWA project sponsor services, or termination if noncompliance issues remain beyond the corrective action timeline indicated. The FDOH uses a standardized monitoring tool for programmatic and fiscal monitoring of HOPWA project sponsors.

Additional monitoring may be conducted as needed to ensure HOPWA project sponsors comply with contract requirements. The FDOH, HIV/AIDS Section's State HOPWA Program staff monitors county health departments (CHDs) serving as the HOPWA project sponsor.

The need for corrective actions discovered during a monitoring must be clearly noted along with a reasonable timeframe allowed for resolution. Documentation reflecting resolution of corrective action(s) must be reported to the contract manager/staff. The contract manager/staff and HOPWA project sponsor must follow the appropriate corrective action procedures that are currently in place.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

CDBG, HOME, HTF, ESG and HOPWA

To be completed after the public comment period.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

CDBG

DEO has not changed the objectives of its CDBG program and continues to strategically use CDBG funds to maximize leverage for infrastructure and public facility improvements, housing rehabilitation (including workforce housing), public services, commercial revitalization, planning and administrative activities. There is a continuous need for CDBG funds to fulfill objectives in the aforementioned categories, hence, no changes are recommended based on Florida's experiences at this time.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

In accordance with the HUD memorandum, *Revision, Extension and Update of April 2020 Memorandum - Availability of Waivers and Suspensions of the HOME Program Requirements in Response to COVID-19 Pandemic* issued December 4, 2020, FHFC must perform on-site inspections postponed during the waiver period within 120 days following the September 30, 2021 expiration of the waiver.

As such, onsite inspections of all HOME and NHTF multifamily rental projects listed below will be completed between October 1, 2021 and January 28, 2022.

Current Development Name	ID Number	Noted Issues (If blank, there are no noted issues)
American Way Townhomes	12090	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Amistad	11363	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Anderson Oaks	1511	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Azalea	4024	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Ballet Villages I	240	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Ballet Villages II	349	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
BCC	10738	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Beach Village at Palm Coast I	10468	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Bethany Court	680	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Bonita Cove	10581	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Brittany Bay	3067	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
By The River	9687	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Cabana Club	94DRHR-013	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Captiva Cove	11066	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Captiva Cove II	11535	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Caribbean West	93HD-008	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Casa Matias	10582	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Casa San Juan Bosco	11003	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Casa San Juan Bosco Community II	11751	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Casa Santa Marta	9506	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Cedars Court	10486	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Centerra	11888	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Century Park	11892	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Charlotte Crossing	10470	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Chatham Pointe Senior	10487	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Colony Lakes	93HD-020	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Colton Meadow	10484	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Coral Village	1148	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Cornell Colony	11747	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Cottondale Village	10471	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Cove at Saint Lucie	97HR-015	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Covington Club	10472	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Crossroads	10583	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Cyndy's Place	11750	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Cypress Cove	10465	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
DeSoto Towers	9505	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Eastwind	314	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Edison Terraces II	1604	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
El Mira Sol Gardens	3004	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Everglades Farmworker Village	9873	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Fountains at Falkenburg	10467	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Gardens - Aswan & Alexandria East & West	7	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Gateway Townhomes of St. Joe	11884	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Glen Oaks	708	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Graceland Manor	10473	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Grand Reserve at Zephyrhills	10476	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Green Gables - Orlando	1600	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Greentree Senior	11748	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Hammock Harbor	10474	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Heron Cove	9503	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Heron Woods	2612	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Hidden Cove - Orlando	3003	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Hilltop Landings	11537	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Homes of Renaissance Preserve I	10485	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Homestead Colony	93HD-002	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Joseph L Lee Gardens	1507	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Key Plaza	1169	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Kings Terrace	11028	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Lake Jennie II	1599	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Lancaster Villas	1598	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Landings at Timberleaf	10737	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Little Oaks	10475	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Lutheran	9504	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Madison	94DRHR-022	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Madison Cove	681	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Magnolia Village	4026	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Main Street Village	2650	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Marcia Gardens	11887	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Marian Manor	9746	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Mary Eaves	11883	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Maxwell Manor II	4176	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Mayfair Village	10578	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Mira Verde	1058	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Mirabella	10477	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Monterra	10846	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Mount Carmel Gardens	1285	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Mystic Woods I	238	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Nature Walk	9783	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
New Hope Community II	1610	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Normandy	5011	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
North Central Heights	9785	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
North Central Heights II	10464	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Notre Dame	10478	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Oakdale	10479	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Orchard Grove	386	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Orchid Grove	10480	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Ospreys Landing	93HD-027	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Palafox Landing	10481	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Palm Villas	94DRHR-005	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Pana Villa	10482	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Park City	93HD-015	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Park Crest Terrace II	1509	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Park Place - Hialeah	1612	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Parkside Garden	9015	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Parkway Place	10483	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Pebble Creek	1512	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Pelican Cove - Miami Gardens	11536	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Phoenix	93HD-034	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Pine Berry Senior	10459	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Pine Meadow - Gainesville	11048	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Ponce Harbor	3068	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Portillo	1170	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Praxis of Deerfield Beach II	1605	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Pueblo Bonito	1616	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Pueblo Bonito III	5025	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
River Oaks - Florida City	94DRHR-031	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
River Park Place	1149	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
San Jose of Seminole	92HR-022	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Sand Dunes	11049	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
SCLAD Plaza	9748	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Seven Palms	2649	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Shady Acres	313	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Solabella	11017	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Sorrento at Miramar	10847	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
St. John Paul II Villas	12273	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
St. John Paul II Villas II	12274	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
St. Martin's Place	11433	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Steven E. Chaykin	10562	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Stoddert Place	96DHR-007	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Sugar Country	11882	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Sunset Pointe	12169	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Sutton Place	10488	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Teal Pointe	93HD-014	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Tradewinds Hammocks	3066	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Tropical Isle	312	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Veranda Breeze I	9788	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Villa Capri	11065	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Villa Seton	4177	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Village at Delray	10489	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Villas at Cove Crossing	236	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Villas at Lake Bonnet	10469	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Villas of Capri	96DHR-016	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Vista 400	10577	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Vista Mar	10490	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
VOA Hillsborough 1 - North 50th	9	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
VOA Hillsborough 2 - Fifteenth	10	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
VOA Hillsborough 3 - East Miller	11	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Whispering Pines - Fellsmere	3846	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Whistler's Green	1508	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Whistler's Park At Lakeside	2648	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Winchester Gardens	94DRHR-011	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Woodlawn Terrace	3861	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

HTF Developments Scheduled for Inspection During the Reporting Period

Current Development Name	ID Number	Noted Issues (If blank, there are no noted issues)
Arbor Village	12278	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19
Warley Park	12445	Scheduled physical inspection was postponed due to COVID-19

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Pursuant to HUD regulation 24 CFR 92.351, FHFC has adopted affirmative marketing guidelines and enforces the guidelines by requiring the HOME program Agreement and the Firm Commitment Letter to include the development's affirmative marketing strategies. Prior to the firm

commitment issuance, the marketing strategy is carefully analyzed and a market study or feasibility report is required if adequate information is not found in the development’s appraisal.

The Corporation's affirmative marketing policy consists of the following elements:

Informing the Public, Owners and Potential Tenants: Acceptable methods for informing the public, owners and potential tenants about the applicable Federal Fair Housing Laws and the HOME program's affirmative marketing policy may include, but are not limited to, providing a copy of this policy in all media releases, using the Equal Housing Opportunity logo and slogan in all media releases and explaining the policy, in general, to the media, property owners and tenants involved with the HOME program.

Advertising Vacant Units: To meet the obligation toward the state's affirmative marketing policy requirements, each property owner should have a policy that clearly specifies or suggests affirmative marketing activities such as the use of commercial media to advertise vacant units, local community contacts for potential tenants, or the use of the Equal Housing Opportunity logo or slogan. The policy must clearly define the recordkeeping obligations of the property owners.

Requirements of Owners for Outreach Efforts: Outreach efforts will include procedures to be used by owners to inform and solicit applications from persons in the housing market area who are not likely to apply (defined in general as those who are not the race/ethnicity of the residents of the neighborhood in which the unit is located). The procedures may include, but are not limited to, the use of community organizations, churches, employment centers, fair housing groups, Public Housing Authorities, or housing counseling agencies specifically chosen because they provide services to, or have as members, persons in the group or groups least likely to apply. The owner's affirmative marketing policy should clearly specify who is responsible for the various necessary activities.

Record Keeping: The state requires recipients to maintain records that describe efforts taken by recipients and by owners to affirmatively market units. The state will use the records to assess the results of the efforts taken.

Assessment of Affirmative Marketing Efforts of Owners: The state recipient shall ensure compliance of its affirmative marketing requirements with the owner by use of an agreement (Firm Loan Commitment) that shall be binding for a specific period (Affordability Period) from the date of completion. The state recipient will assess the owner's affirmative marketing plan and the results of the marketing plan. It will also determine what corrective actions will be taken when an owner fails to follow these affirmative marketing efforts.

Additional State Requirements: State recipients will certify that they adopt the state HOME Entitlement Areas' Participating Jurisdictions

affirmative marketing procedures described above through a certification in their local HOME Program Description and/or Consolidated Plan as well as maintain the required records and reports in accordance with 24 CFR 92.351.

The State Participating Jurisdiction shall review and approve the affirmative marketing policy initially and monitor each state recipient's performance yearly to ensure that the local policy is being carried out and the state recipient follows 24 CFR 92.351. state recipients' performance of their affirmative marketing policy requirements will be used as a factor in approving future participation in the HOME program.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

FHFC receipted $12,168,307.20 in Program Income and expended $6,862,604.51 for the fiscal year. Program income can be used across all our HOME programs, including rental, TBRA and homeownership down payment assistance. For the HOME Rental portfolio, a total of 31,280 people disclosed their race. Of those people, 9,180 (29 percent) were African American, 13,080 (42 percent) were White and 9,020 (29 percent) were of other races. Hispanic ethnicity was disclosed for 14,580 people. Ages were disclosed for 27,890 people and, of these, 3,693 (13 percent) were age 62 and older. For the fiscal year, for the homeownership programs 34 percent (31 homeowners) were White, 60 percent (55 homeowners) were Black/African American, 5 percent (5 homeowners) were Other Multi Racial. Eighteen households were Hispanic and 73 were Not Hispanic.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

During the program year, FHFC issued different Requests For Applications (RFA) utilizing LIHTC for a variety of developments. Many of these RFAs combine other sources of funding including state housing trust fund or MMRB. To ensure development across the state, RFA were issued for developing affordable housing in small or medium counites, and specific RFA were issued to target the largest counties in Florida. Other RFA were issued to address the need for preservation of existing affordable housing developments.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments	1,550	1,207
Tenant-based rental assistance	150	308
Units provided in transitional housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0
Total	1,700	1,515

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

Accomplishments and distribution of funds during the 2020 program year are as follows: The State HOPWA Program provided services to 2,034 households during the 2020 program year and spent $16,540,840 (including leveraged funds and program income); 715 of the households were served in the prior year. 2,034 households received assistance with TBRA, STRMU, transitional housing, and permanent housing placement (PHP) services. Of the 2,034 households, another 1,069 were beneficiaries that resided in the household who were served for a total of 3,103 individuals assisted. The 1,515 households in private housing received $5,108,894 in TBRA and STRMU assistance. In addition, 296 clients received assistance with transitional housing and 339 clients received assistance with PHP services (duplicated clients).

The State HOPWA Program goal is to increase the percentage of individuals in stable housing by identifying resources to supplement HOPWA client incomes and provide non-HOPWA supportive services. The HOPWA project sponsors estimated that 1,550 households would be served through the State HOPWA Program with priority given to persons with low-income. The actual number of households totaled 2,034 or 131 percent of the estimated goal. Data collected during the 2020 program year reflects that of the 2,034 clients served, 379 are in permanent,

stable housing and 688 are temporarily stable with a reduced risk of homelessness because of HOPWA housing assistance. Of the total clients assisted, 55 chronically homeless are currently in a more stable housing arrangement. This number is an increase from the 29 persons identified in the previous program year. This increase is attributed to the addition of the cities contracts this program year.

The State HOPWA Program's assessment of client stability outcomes resulting from short-term emergency housing assistance is that over 98 percent of households served are in a stable or temporarily stable housing arrangement. About 2 percent of clients are in unstable housing arrangements. The overall outcomes for the 2020 program year reflect that over three quarters of eligible clients/households are able to establish and better maintain suitable stable housing; improved accessibility to health care and other support services; and reduced risk of homelessness among individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	16	0	0	16	0	16
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	Florida
Organizational DUNS Number	809396450
EIN/TIN Number	593451366
Indentify the Field Office	JACKSONVILLE
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Tera
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Bivens
Suffix	0
Title	Contracted Programs Chief

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	2415 North Monroe St.
Street Address 2	0
City	Tallahassee
State	FL
ZIP Code	32303-4190
Phone Number	8507174213
Extension	0
Fax Number	0

Email Address	Tera.Bivens@myflfamilies.com

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Shannon
Last Name	Piotrowski
Suffix	0
Title	Deputy Director, Office of Homelessness
Phone Number	8506949281
Extension	0
Email Address	shannon.piotrowski@myflfamilies.com

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2020
Program Year End Date	06/30/2021

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name
City
State
Zip Code
DUNS Number
Is subrecipient a vistim services provider
Subrecipient Organization Type
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don’t Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don’t Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don’t Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don’t Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don’t Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans				
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill				
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
Total (unduplicated if possible)				

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units – Rehabbed		
Number of New Units – Conversion		
Total Number of bed - nigths available		
Total Number of bed - nights provided		
Capacity Utilization		

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	$438,584.78	$1,069,831.00	$846,128.98

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	$1,397,314.76	$2,985,588.54	$2,539,148.07

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Essential Services			
Operations			
Renovation			
Major Rehab			
Conversion			
Subtotal	$1,306,260.08	$1,477,282	$908,522.12

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Street Outreach	$303,803.22	$592,647.75	$533,615.65
HMIS	$83,800.67	$144,091.93	$132,377.01
Administration	$139,016.87	$268,544.49	$253,323.75

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2018	2019	2020
	$3,205,544.72	$6,322,871.99	$5,334,294.73

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2018	2019	2020
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds			
Other Federal Funds			
State Government			
Local Government			
Private Funds			
Other			
Fees			
Program Income			
Total Match Amount	$7,165,573.79	$7,165,574	$4,927,573.34

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2018	2019	2020
	$10,371,118.51	$13,488,445.99	$10,261,868.07

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2011
As of 09/17/2021
Grant Number B11DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,840,889.00	
2)	Program Income		
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$349,504.31	
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00	
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	-$162,461.68	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$187,042.63	$349,504.31
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00	
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$25,027,931.63	

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation		
9)	Obligated to recipients	$21,877,282.71	
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$1,986,873.32	$2,118,379.62
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$23,864,156.03	$23,995,662.33
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$721,022.23	
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$721,022.23	$721,022.23
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$124,204.44	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$124,204.44	$124,204.44
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$621,022.23	$621,022.23
19)	Program Income		
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$469,886.38	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$469,886.38	
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00	
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00	
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$282,843.75	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$282,843.75	
26)	Retained by recipients	$349,504.31	
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$349,504.31	-$349,504.31
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00	

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$721,022.23	
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$721,022.23	$721,022.23
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$124,204.44	
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$124,204.44	$124,204.44
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$21,609,604.66	
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	$2,603,651.28	$2,386,057.67
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$24,213,255.94	$23,995,662.33

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00	
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00	
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00	
44)	Amount subject to PS cap		
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,840,889.00	
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$187,042.63	
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00	

48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$25,027,931.63
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$3,067,882.22
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$508,434.91
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,559,447.31
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,840,889.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$187,042.63
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$25,027,931.63
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	10.23%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$3,067,882.22
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,840,889.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	12.35%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2011 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

Grant Year		2011	**Total**
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	19,262,744.67	19,262,744.67
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	4,950,511.27	4,950,511.27
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	24,213,255.94	24,213,255.94
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74,	24,213,255.94	24,213,255.94
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	721,022.23	721,022.23
80)	Technical Assistance	124,204.44	124,204.44
81)	Local Administration	2,346,859.99	2,346,859.99
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2012
As of 09/17/2021
Grant Number B12DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$22,887,374.00	
2)	Program Income		
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$73,193.11	
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00	
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$29,080.12	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$102,273.23	$73,193.11
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00	
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$22,989,647.23	

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation		
9)	Obligated to recipients	$17,677,154.20	
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$329,395.63	$4,423,598.80
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$17,347,758.57	$22,100,753.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$672,184.00	
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$672,184.00	$672,184.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$114,437.00	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$114,437.00	$114,437.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$572,184.00	$572,184.00
19)	Program Income		
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$434,966.49	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$434,966.49	
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00	
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00	
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$332,693.26	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$332,693.26	
26)	Retained by recipients	$73,193.11	
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$73,193.11	-$73,193.11
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00	

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$672,184.00	
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$672,184.00	$672,184.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$114,437.00	
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$114,437.00	$114,437.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$17,287,277.46	
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	$186,520.54	$4,813,475.54
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$17,473,798.00	$22,100,753.00

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$22,887,374.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$102,273.23
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00

48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$22,989,647.23
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$1,976,304.14
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	$32,342.19
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,008,646.33
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$22,887,374.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$102,273.23
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$22,989,647.23
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	8.74%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$1,976,304.14
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$22,887,374.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	8.63%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2012 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

Grant Year		2012	**Total**
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	15,983,157.32	15,983,157.32
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	1,490,640.68	1,490,640.68
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	17,473,798.00	17,473,798.00
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74,	17,473,798.00	17,473,798.00
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	672,184.00	672,184.00
80)	Technical Assistance	114,437.00	114,437.00
81)	Local Administration	1,304,120.14	1,304,120.14
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2013
As of 09/17/2021
Grant Number B13DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,214,007.00	
2)	Program Income		
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$0.00	
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00	
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$80,795.38	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$80,795.38	$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00	
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$24,294,802.38	

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation		
9)	Obligated to recipients	$29,062,836.07	
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$8,649,030.44	-$5,675,249.07
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$20,413,805.63	$23,387,587.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$705,350.00	
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$705,350.00	$705,350.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$121,070.00	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$121,070.00	$121,070.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$605,350.00	$605,350.00
19)	Program Income		
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$74,680.00	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$74,680.00	
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00	
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00	
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$6,115.38	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$6,115.38	
26)	Retained by recipients	$0.00	
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	$0.00	$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00	

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$705,350.00	
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00	-$115.20
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$705,350.00	$705,234.80
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$121,070.00	
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$121,070.00	$121,070.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$28,236,416.07	
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$5,947,953.20	-$6,819,221.13
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$22,288,462.87	$21,417,194.94

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00	
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00	
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00	
44)	Amount subject to PS cap		
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,214,007.00	
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$80,795.38	
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00	

48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$24,294,802.38
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$3,253,182.28
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$436,358.08
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,816,824.20
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,214,007.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$80,795.38
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$24,294,802.38
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	11.59%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$3,253,182.28
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,214,007.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	13.44%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2013 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

Grant Year		2013	**Total**
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	25,688,583.79	25,688,583.79
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	(3,495,409.52)	(3,495,409.52)
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	22,193,174.27	22,193,174.27
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74,	22,193,174.27	22,193,174.27
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	705,350.00	705,350.00
80)	Technical Assistance	121,070.00	121,070.00
81)	Local Administration	2,547,832.28	2,547,832.28
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2014
As of 09/17/2021
Grant Number B14DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,130,014.00	
2)	Program Income		
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$82,912.57	
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00	
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	-$28,897.94	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$54,014.63	$82,912.57
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00	
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$24,184,028.63	

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation		
9)	Obligated to recipients	$29,395,464.03	
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$1,255,762.68	-$3,582,477.03
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$28,139,701.35	$25,812,987.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$703,250.00	
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$703,250.00	$703,250.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$120,650.00	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$120,650.00	$120,650.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$603,250.00	$603,250.00
19)	Program Income		
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$137,091.48	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$137,091.48	
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00	
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00	
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$83,076.85	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$83,076.85	
26)	Retained by recipients	$82,912.57	
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$82,912.57	-$82,912.57
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00	

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$703,250.00	
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$703,250.00	$703,250.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$120,650.00	
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$120,650.00	$120,650.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00	
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$28,708,655.51	
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	$454,854.28	-$5,179,390.11
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$29,163,509.79	$23,529,265.40

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,130,014.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$54,014.63
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00

48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$24,184,028.63
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$3,578,873.81
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	$61,858.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$3,640,731.81
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,130,014.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$54,014.63
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$24,184,028.63
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.05%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$3,578,873.81
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,130,014.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	14.83%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2014 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

Grant Year		2014	**Total**
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	25,833,031.70	25,833,031.70
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	2,586,512.86	2,586,512.86
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	28,419,544.56	28,419,544.56
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74,	28,419,544.56	28,419,544.56
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	703,250.00	703,250.00
80)	Technical Assistance	120,650.00	120,650.00
81)	Local Administration	2,875,623.81	2,875,623.81
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2015
As of 10/18/2021
Grant Number B15DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,019,316.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$997,124.57
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$997,124.57
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$25,016,440.57

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$23,215,110.11
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$206,113.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$23,421,223.11
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$700,483.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$700,483.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$120,096.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$120,096.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$600,482.90
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	$0.00
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$997,124.57
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$997,124.57
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$840,127.99
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$840,127.99
26)	Retained by recipients	$997,124.57
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$997,124.57
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$700,483.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$700,483.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$120,096.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$120,096.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$22,175,923.79
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$585,842.75
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$21,590,081.04

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,019,316.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$997,124.57
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$25,016,440.57
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$2,546,337.75
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	$210,664.33
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,757,002.08
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,019,316.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$997,124.57
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$25,016,440.57
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	11.02%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$2,499,358.48
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,019,316.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	10.41%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2015 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

	Grant Year	2015	**Total**
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	20,330,069.04	20,330,069.04
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	1,501,724.09	1,501,724.09
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	21,831,793.13	21,831,793.13
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74,	21,831,793.13	21,831,793.13
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	700,483.00	700,483.00
80)	Technical Assistance	120,096.00	120,096.00
81)	Local Administration	1,845,854.75	1,845,854.75
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2016
As of 10/18/2021
Grant Number B16DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,108,644.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$145,520.86
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$145,520.86
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$24,254,164.86

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$24,098,940.02
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$1,489,966.98
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$22,608,973.04
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$702,716.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$702,716.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$120,543.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$120,543.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$0.00
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	$145,520.86
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$145,520.86
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$702,716.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$702,716.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$99,095.78
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	-$834.04
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$98,261.74
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$18,500,751.49
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$1,500,267.98
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$17,000,483.51

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,108,644.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$145,520.86
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$24,254,164.86
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$2,119,377.82
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	$8,344.84
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,127,722.66
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,108,644.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$145,520.86
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$24,254,164.86
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	8.77%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$2,105,725.82
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,108,644.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	8.73%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 0 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

IDIS - PR28

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2017
As of 10/18/2021
Grant Number B17DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,176,468.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$0.00
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$24,176,468.00

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$23,328,059.93
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$673,628.46
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$22,654,431.47
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$704,412.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$704,412.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$120,882.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$604,412.00
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$704,412.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$704,412.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
	-$120,882.00	
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$14,159,299.38
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$2,219,568.03
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$11,939,731.35

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,176,468.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$24,176,468.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$2,117,760.32
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	$61,613.46
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,179,373.78
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,176,468.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$24,176,468.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	9.01%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$2,117,760.32
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,176,468.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	8.76%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 0 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2018
As of 10/18/2021
Grant Number B18DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$27,084,070.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$309,114.55
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$309,114.55
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$27,393,184.55

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$22,424,756.44
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$3,416,878.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$25,841,634.44
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$777,102.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$777,102.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$135,420.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$677,102.00
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	$309,114.55
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$309,114.55

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$777,102.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$777,102.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
	-$135,420.00	
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$7,749,090.13
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$2,747,709.80
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$5,001,380.33

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$27,084,070.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$309,114.55
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$27,393,184.55
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$1,694,632.21
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$8,449.08
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$1,686,183.13
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$27,084,070.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$309,114.55
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$27,393,184.55
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	6.16%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$1,650,035.48
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$27,084,070.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	6.09%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years _____ — _____

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2019
As of 10/18/2021
Grant Number B19DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$27,511,368.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$175,000.00
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$175,000.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$27,686,368.00

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$22,696,841.04
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$4,064,185.96
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$26,761,027.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$787,784.20
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$787,784.20
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$137,556.84
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$137,556.84
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$687,784.00
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	$175,000.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$175,000.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$787,784.20
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$787,784.20
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$1,575,568.40
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$0.00
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$787,784.20
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	($787,784.20)

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$27,511,368.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$175,000.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$27,686,368.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$787,784.20
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	$299,464.28
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$1,087,248.48
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$27,511,368.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$175,000.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$27,686,368.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	3.93%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$787,784.20
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$27,511,368.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	2.86%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 0 — 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2020
As of 10/18/2021
Grant Number B20DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$28,356,696.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$265,602.58
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$265,602.58
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$28,622,298.58

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$950,700.00
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$950,700.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$808,917.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$808,917.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$141,783.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$141,783.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	$265,602.58
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$265,602.58

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$770,922.05
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$770,922.05
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$322,587.37
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$322,587.37

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$28,356,696.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$265,602.58
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$28,622,298.58
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$825,043.84
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$825,043.84
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$28,356,696.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$265,602.58
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$28,622,298.58
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	2.88%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$770,922.05
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$28,356,696.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	2.72%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years _____ — _____

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [ ]

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.