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## Final Report June 5, 2019

## CareerSource Pinellas Compensation Review

## Introduction

In February 2019, hrEdge Consulting was retained by CareerSource Pinellas to conduct a Compensation Review. The review was designed to focus on salary range equity externally, as well as internal structure of position titles. The review included the following deliverables:

- Review of all active positions and recommendations for continued, discontinued, and merged position titles.
- Review of external Compensation Survey Reports and analysis of CareerSource ranges for each position.
- Review of CareerSource Pinellas Compensation versus other Florida CareerSource Compensation Structures.
- Development of new pay structure to include Min-Mid-Max for each Grade and the Spread between Grades.
- Analysis of employee impact and cost of implementation.


## Study Methodology

hrEdge Consulting included the following activities in the Compensation Review process:

- Project Kick-Off Meeting to discuss current Compensation Structure, additional Compensation Programs and desired market strategy.
- Compensation Benchmark Surveys were purchased from Willis Towers Watson. Data contained was gathered in April 2019.
- CareerSource Compensation Structures were obtained from Manatee, Polk, and CLM (Citrus, Levy Marion).
- Current positions and their ranges were compared to all external data sources.


## Current Compensation Structure

## Current Compensation Range Structure

The current Compensation Structure consists of 13 pay ranges numbered between 18 and 38 . There is a $60 \%$ spread between each range.

Exhibit A illustrates CareerSource Pinellas's current Compensation Structure.

EXHIBIT A

| Grade <br> Level | Minimum <br> Salary | Mid Salary <br> (60\% Spread) | Max Salary |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | $\$ 25,200.00$ | $\$ 32,800.00$ | $\$ 40,300.00$ |
| 21 | $\$ 31,700.00$ | $\$ 41,300.00$ | $\$ 50,800.00$ |
| 22 | $\$ 34,300.00$ | $\$ 44,600.00$ | $\$ 54,800.00$ |
| 23 | $\$ 37,000.00$ | $\$ 48,100.00$ | $\$ 59,200.00$ |
| 24 | $\$ 40,000.00$ | $\$ 52,000.00$ | $\$ 64,000.00$ |
| 25 | $\$ 43,200.00$ | $\$ 56,100.00$ | $\$ 69,000.00$ |
| 26 | $\$ 46,600.00$ | $\$ 60,600.00$ | $\$ 74,600.00$ |
| 27 | $\$ 50,400.00$ | $\$ 65,500.00$ | $\$ 80,600.00$ |
| 32 | $\$ 74,000.00$ | $\$ 96,200.00$ | $\$ 118,400.00$ |
| 33 | $\$ 79,900.00$ | $\$ 103,900.00$ | $\$ 127,900.00$ |
| 34 | $\$ 86,300.00$ | $\$ 112,200.00$ | $\$ 138,100.00$ |
| 35 | $\$ 93,204.00$ | $\$ 121,176.00$ | $\$ 149,148.00$ |
| 38 | $\$ 140,000.00$ | $\$ 182,000.00$ | $\$ 224,000.00$ |

## Current Position Hierarchy

CareerSource Pinellas currently operates with 30 distinct job titles. The job titles rank from lowest level of competency required to highest competency required in the following order:
Specialist/Instructor, Specialist II/Technician, Counselor, Manager, Lead, Coordinator, Supervisor, Director, Executive.

## Current Incumbent Pay Grade Placement

CareerSource Pinellas has 60 employees assigned to positions with a specific pay grade. There are 5 employees with position titles that are not assigned to a pay grade. The employees have current salaries below the minimum of the range, at the minimum, at the midpoint, at the maximum of the range and over the maximum. There are also employees in between these points, which out be classified as min-mid (between the minimum and midpoint) of the range and mid-max (between the midpoint and the maximum) of the range. Close to half of the employees are at the minimum of the range with the second largest population between the minimum and midpoint of the range.

Exhibit B and Exhibit C demonstrate the count and percentage of distribution.

EXHIBIT B


EXHIBIT C


Current Departments

CareerSource Pinellas currently assigns employees to 9 Departments. The following information is a snapshot in time and is intended to provide basic information regarding how employees are distributed among the departments. Please note that Years of Service may include previous intern, contractor and/or other CareerSource tenure.

Exhibit D provides information regarding headcount and years of service.

EXHIBIT D

| Department | Employees | Years of <br> Service |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ADMIN INDIRECT | 7 | 3.75 |
| ADULT \& DW CASE MANAGEMENT | 9 | 8.86 |
| BUSINESS SERVICES | 19 | 5.16 |
| CASE MANAGEMENT | 15 | 5.81 |
| DIRECT CLIENT SRVS | 6 | 8.55 |
| LOCAL SYSTEMS | 6 | 9.18 |
| PROGRAM INDIRECT | 2 | 5.30 |
| UC | 1 | 0.60 |
| YOUTHBUILD | 2 | 6.20 |

Exhibit E provides information regarding Department percentages.

## EXHIBIT E



## Compensation Review Results Summary

## Proposed Salary Range Structure

The current Compensation Structure has a flat $60 \%$ spread between all grades. Companies of similar sizes and industries, including CareerSource's, utilize a progressive spread with smaller spreads in the positions with lower competency requirements, and a larger spread in the positions with higher competency. This will allow for growth and promotion from the entry level positions and longevity in the leadership and executive roles. The ranges were designed to cover the current top ranges and backed down to the lower ranges. Grade Levels start below all current wages paid and end two Grades above the current pay ranges. This allow for growth and availability of pay ranges for any new positions created.

Exhibit F outlines the new Salary Range Structure.

## EXHIBIT F

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ Salary Ranges |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Min <br> Salary | Mid <br> Salary | Max <br> Salary | Spread |
| 101 | $\$ 30,893$ | $\$ 35,548$ | $\$ 40,204$ | $30 \%$ |
| 102 | $\$ 33,580$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 43,700$ | $30 \%$ |
| 103 | $\$ 36,500$ | $\$ 42,000$ | $\$ 47,500$ | $30 \%$ |
| 104 | $\$ 39,420$ | $\$ 45,360$ | $\$ 51,300$ | $30 \%$ |
| 105 | $\$ 42,574$ | $\$ 48,989$ | $\$ 55,404$ | $30 \%$ |
| 206 | $\$ 45,979$ | $\$ 52,908$ | $\$ 59,836$ | $30 \%$ |
| 207 | $\$ 49,658$ | $\$ 59,589$ | $\$ 69,521$ | $40 \%$ |
| 208 | $\$ 53,630$ | $\$ 64,356$ | $\$ 75,083$ | $40 \%$ |
| 209 | $\$ 57,921$ | $\$ 69,505$ | $\$ 81,089$ | $40 \%$ |
| 210 | $\$ 62,555$ | $\$ 75,065$ | $\$ 87,576$ | $40 \%$ |
| 211 | $\$ 67,559$ | $\$ 81,070$ | $\$ 94,583$ | $40 \%$ |
| 212 | $\$ 71,110$ | $\$ 89,027$ | $\$ 106,945$ | $50 \%$ |
| 213 | $\$ 76,799$ | $\$ 96,149$ | $\$ 115,501$ | $50 \%$ |
| 214 | $\$ 82,943$ | $\$ 103,841$ | $\$ 124,741$ | $50 \%$ |
| 215 | $\$ 89,578$ | $\$ 112,148$ | $\$ 134,720$ | $50 \%$ |
| 216 | $\$ 96,744$ | $\$ 121,120$ | $\$ 145,497$ | $50 \%$ |
| 217 | $\$ 104,484$ | $\$ 135,829$ | $\$ 167,174$ | $60 \%$ |
| 218 | $\$ 112,843$ | $\$ 146,695$ | $\$ 180,548$ | $60 \%$ |
| 219 | $\$ 121,870$ | $\$ 158,431$ | $\$ 194,992$ | $60 \%$ |
| 220 | $\$ 131,620$ | $\$ 171,105$ | $\$ 210,591$ | $60 \%$ |
| 221 | $\$ 142,149$ | $\$ 184,794$ | $\$ 227,438$ | $60 \%$ |
| 222 | $\$ 153,521$ | $\$ 199,577$ | $\$ 245,633$ | $60 \%$ |

## Proposed Job Title Families

The current Position Hierarchy at CareerSource Pinellas was found to be inconsistent with the external data. The most commonly adopted hierarchy ranked job titles from lowest level of competency required to highest competency required in the following order: Technician, Specialist/Instructor, Career Counselor, Lead, Coordinator, Supervisor, Director, Executive. The current 30 distinct job titles prohibit cross-training and multi-function positions. Job Title Families allow for employees to cover job duties in more than one area of expertise. In line with the external hierarchy data, like jobs have been grouped into Job Title Families.

Exhibit G outlines the Families and the specialties housed within each Family.

## EXHIBIT G

| Job Title Family |
| :--- |
| Technicians - Program, Placement |
| Instructor - Remedial, Skills, Workshops |
| Specialist - Placement, Program, <br> Resource, Finance |
| Business Account Executive |
| Career Counselor |
| Instructor, Trades Assistant |
| Disability Navigator |
| Lead - Career Counselor, MIS, Business <br> Services, Instructor Trades, Finance, <br> Marketing |
| Coordinator - Admin, Finance, Program, <br> Projects |
| Instructor - Trades |
| Supervisor - Program, Project |
| HR Business Partner |
| Director - Projects, Programs |
| CFO |
| CEO |

## Proposed Range Placement

Proposed Job Title Families were analyzed versus the three CareerSource organizations that participated. Proposed Job Title Families were also analyzed versus Wilson Towers Watson Compensation Benchmark Surveys. The Compensation Benchmark Surveys were drilled down to Non-Profit, Less than $\$ 500$ Million in the Southeast Region. Most Families were also drilled
down to the Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater market except for the MIS positions. The new families were then slotted into the Grade Level that most closely matched the midpoints of the survey data.

Exhibit H outlines the Job Title Families with their assigned Grade Level and associated Salary Range.

## Exhibit H

| Job Family Titles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title Family | Grade Level | Min Salary | Mid Salary | Max Salary |  |  |
| Technicians - Program, Placement | 102 | \$33,580 | \$38,640 | \$43,700 | Grade | Exemption |
| Instructor - Remedial, Skills, Workshops | 103 | \$36,500 | \$42,000 | \$47,500 | 100s | Non-Exempt |
| Specialist - Placement, Program, Resource, Finance | 103 | \$36,500 | \$42,000 | \$47,500 | 200s | Exempt |
| Career Counselor | 104 | \$39,420 | \$45,360 | \$51,300 |  |  |
| Instructor, Trades Assistant | 104 | \$39,420 | \$45,360 | \$51,300 |  |  |
| Disability Navigator | 104 | \$39,420 | \$45,360 | \$51,300 |  |  |
| Business Account Executive | 105 | \$42,574 | \$48,989 | \$55,404 |  |  |
| Lead - Career Counselor, MIS, Business Services, Instructor Trades, Finance, Marketing | 105 | \$42,574 | \$48,989 | \$55,404 |  |  |
| Instructor, Trades | 104 | \$42,574 | \$48,989 | \$55,404 |  |  |
| Coordinator, Admin, Finance, Program, Projects | 206 | \$45,979 | \$52,908 | \$59,836 |  |  |
| Supervisor - Program, Project | 208 | \$53,630 | \$64,356 | \$75,083 |  |  |
| HR Business Partner | 210 | \$62,555 | \$75,065 | \$87,576 |  |  |
| Director - Projects, Programs | 214 | \$82,943 | \$103,841 | \$124,741 |  |  |
| CFO | 219 | \$121,870 | \$158,431 | \$194,992 |  |  |
| CEO | 221 | \$142,149 | \$184,794 | \$227,438 |  |  |

## Impact and Cost of Placement

CareerSource Pinellas's 65 employees were slotted with their new Job Title Family and Grade Level. Of the 65 employees, 1 employee was over the maximum of their range and 23 employees were below the minimum of their range. There are 2 employees whose positions have been eliminated. They will be given options to apply for currently available positions.

Exhibit I outlines the data for the 23 employees below the minimum of the range. All figures are annualized cost.

## EXHIBIT I

## Placement Impact

| Smallest Impact | $\$$ | 245.60 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Largest Impact | $\$$ | $5,162.40$ |
| Average Impact | $\$$ | $2,449.79$ |
| Total Impact | $\$ \mathbf{5 6 , 3 4 5 . 2 4}$ |  |

Exhibit J outlines the count of the 23 affected employees by their Current Job Title.

## EXHIBIT J



Tenure

In order to begin the move to experience based rates, it is recommended to give an additional increase to those employees with at least 2 years of service whose move to the new pay ranges places their salary within $\$ 1,000$ of the minimum of the range. Of the 65 employees, 27 would be eligible for the $2 \%$ Tenure Increase.

Exhibit K outlines the data for the 27 employees eligible for the Tenure Increase. All figures are annualized cost.

## EXHIBIT K

## Tenure Impact

| Smallest Impact | $\$$ | 671.60 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Largest Impact | $\$$ | $1,072.60$ |
| Average Impact | $\$$ | 773.65 |
| Total Impact | $\$ 20,888.53$ |  |

## Conclusion

Based on external market data, it is recommended to adopt the proposed Job Title Family Structure with aligned Pay Grades. It is further suggested to review Pay Grade and Salary Range data for Cost of Living adjustments annually. While every position and/or range will not require updating annually, it is important to remain competitive in the market to avoid recruitment and retention challenges. It is recommended to place incoming employees in the range based on experience, not to exceed current employees with greater experience. Thus, internal equity will be preserved. It is further noted that the current process of offering the annual market increase in the form of a bonus, rather than an increase to base pay, will continue to pull the compensation behind market. It is recommended to move to a base salary increase versus an increase bonus.

