Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

1.0 - Document Overview

This document sets forth the specific scoring and evaluation criteria that will be used to evaluate and rank applications to potentially receive funding from the Broadband Opportunity Program. Of the total appropriated dollars, after subtracting any allowable administrative funds, the Office will initially set aside forty (40) percent for the eligible project applications within a rural community. If all set aside dollars are not awarded, the remaining balance of set aside dollars may be awardable to eligible non-rural project applications. No score guarantees funding of an application. Scoring is competitive. Eligible Applicants must obtain a minimum evaluation score of 130 points to be considered for funding; however, this does not guarantee an award. Only the highest scoring applications may earn funding and total applications funded will be limited based on available funds to the program.

2.0 - Evaluation Criteria, Consideration Factors, and Scoring

The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity's ("DEO") Florida Office of Broadband ("Office") will award grants to projects for the installation or deployment of infrastructure that supports the provision of broadband Internet services within the state, while demonstrating optimal impact of Broadband Opportunity Program funds. Applications will be reviewed and evaluated by the Office using the criteria and associated point values set forth below. Each category uniquely contributes to the Office's mission to increase the availability and effectiveness of broadband internet throughout the state through creating a Connected Economy. The three steps of Availability, Adoption, and Use lead to a Connected Economy supporting development of Workforce, Education, and Health Care, and each step must be undertaken with a high level of Accountability to ensure positive impacts in Business Growth, Job Growth, development of Workforce Education and Job Training opportunities, Healthier Floridians, and connected Workforce Housing. Grants will be awarded to projects that help advance the Office's mission, which is articulated in DEO's The Florida Strategic Plan for Broadband.

There are eight major evaluation criteria listed below with sub-categories and consideration factors identified for each. The Broadband Opportunity Program Grant Application and supporting documentation will be reviewed and points awarded for each category based upon the scoring and evaluation criteria. The total maximum point award available for each major evaluation criteria is listed. To ensure that your application receives the highest possible scoring, please provide complete, comprehensive, and clear responses for all information requested. Applications must align with the Florida Broadband Strategic plan's strategies for a connected economy. You may access the Florida Strategic Plan for Broadband on the Office of Broadband's website at www.floridajobs.org/broadband.

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

Eva	luation Criteria	Maximum Points (300)
A.	Broadband Impact	50
•	Development Impact & Percentage of Premises Passed	
•	Economic Development & Workforce Development Impact	
В.	Network Scalability	35
•	Network Capacity and Scalability (see table for scoring)	
C.	Project Viability & Sustainability	35
•	Financial Sustainability	
•	Organization's Capability and Track Record	
•	Public-Private Partnerships	
D.	Economic Distress	40
•	Economic Distress Factors	
<i>E.</i> 1	Funding Sources and Community Support	40
•	Leverage of Non-state funding (see table for scoring)	
•	Evidence of Community Support	
•	Local Technology Planning Team of Broadband Committee	
•	Verified Financial Commitment from Community Members and Organizations	
F. /	Alignment with State Strategic Plan	40
•	Plan meets minimum goals in strategic plan	
G. I	Project Readiness	40
•	Detailed, Reasonable Project Schedule	
•	Detailed Engineering Design and Network Map	
•	Leveraging Existing Resources and Operating Assets	
Н. /	Affordability & Adoption Assistance	20
•	Entry level service tier and/or discounted rates for low income, small business and community anchor institutions	
•	Participates in the Affordable Connectivity Program	
•	Strategies for adoption to general public	
•	Programs to raise awareness and adoption.	

3.0 - Consideration Factors per Evaluation Criteria

The following tables list the eight Evaluation Criteria key areas and main sub-categories that represent the scoring criteria. Under each sub-category, factors are listed for consideration that will be used to assign points. The Application will ask the questions to enable a comprehensive, detailed filing by the Applicant.

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

A. Development Impact (50 points)

This evaluation criteria measures the potential impact that broadband expansion could have within the proposed project area. Specifically, this criterion measures the degree to which the project will increase access to broadband service and promote community and economic development within the project area. Projects that offer access to greater numbers of underserved or unserved locations will be scored higher. This is measured by the anticipated percentage of premises passed within the project area. This scoring criteria also evaluates and measures the benefits to the communities that will be served within the project area and the degree to which broadband access will enable workforce, education, and healthcare.

A.1 Broadband Impact & Percentag	Maximum 25 points	
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Degree of unserved (or underserved) premises and improvement in broadband speeds	 ✓ Percentage of premises passed wincluding homes, businesses, com (including but not limited to, librari public safety facilities, and health government facilities. Note that gawarded to projects that will serve with Mbps broadband service per Florida ✓ Degree of broadband unavailability – 10/1 Mbps), unserved (below 25/3 M 100/20 Mbps) See table for scoring ✓ Anticipated improvements in broad pre-existing broadband service level e.g., above 25/3, 100/10, or 1G/1G+ ✓ List of businesses and institutions be Note: Please see table below for laccess will be scored 	munity anchor institutions es, educational institutions, care facilities), farms, and rant funding may only be areas that lack at least 25/3 Statutes extremely unserved (below 1bps) or underserved (below 1bps) or underserved (below 1band speed offerings from s to proposed service levels

Percentage of Anticipated Premises Passed Scoring Table

The percentage of anticipated premises passed will be scored using a multiplier that is proportional to the percent of passings currently in each speed category. For example, a proposed project that anticipates serving 100% of passings with 1G/1G Mbps, where 25% of the area has current speeds at 25/3 Mbps (23 points) and 75% currently have 10/1 Mbps (25 points), the applicant would receive a total score of 24.5 points (i.e. (23 points * 0.25) + (25 points * 0.75) = 24.5 total points)

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

	Current Speed (Mbps)	≤ 10/1: Extremely Unserved			≤ 25/3: Unserve	d	
% of Total Passings	Maximum Available Speed After Build (Mbps)	100/20	100/100	1G/1G	100/20	100/100	1G/1G
≤ 25%		0	0	0	0	0	0
25% - 40%	Points Awarded	10	13	16	8	11	15
40% - 80%		13	16	20	11	14	18
80%-100%		16	20	25	15	19	23

A.2 Economic Development & Wor	Maximum 25 points	
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Proposed impact in traditionally hard-to-serve areas where infrastructure is deficient	 ✓ Residents: ability to telework, remo ability to facilitate telehealth, includin and electronic health records, new how ✓ Businesses: degree of competitiver 	g the use of telemedicine using starts, etc. ness, market expansion,
 Proposed impact to strengthen broadband as a tool for workforce, education, and healthcare 	workforce development, job creation establishments, etc. ✓ Farmers and agricultural use custome productivity, new applications for preductivity.	rs: farming efficiency and cision agriculture, etc.
Examples of potential uses of proposed broadband availability	 Community anchor institutions: enhance execute mission in more effective and schools, libraries, hospitals, clinics, 	l efficient manner (across
 Proposed impact to workforce development and job creation 	community gathering centers, etc.)✓ Other: public safety improvements infrastructure improvements; etc.	
Proposed economic impact to the community	 Degree to which project will include opportunities for local hires such as job fairs, and training programs Substantive evidence provided demonstrates 	apprenticeship programs,
	broadband within the project area Letters of support describing project target customers' circumstances	
	 Petitions or listings of a significant households and businesses express subscribe to broadband service prov project 	sing a strong desire to

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

B. Network Scalability (35 points)

This evaluation criteria measures the level of scalability of transmission speeds that the project will provide within the proposed project area. Projects that provide for greater scalability will be scored higher (see table below).

B.1 Network Capacity and Scalability		Maximum 35 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Demonstration that the capital investment today delivers a network that meets demand today and for the long-term	 ✓ Useful life and capacity of the technology ✓ Process and investment for long-toperation, and maintenance ✓ Degree of evidence provided that dobroadband infrastructure is scalable 	erm network scalability,

Network Scalability Scoring Table

Network Scalable to	Points			
≥100mbps/20mbps	12			
≥100mbps/100mbps	16			
≥250mbps/250mbps	20			
≥500mbps/500mbps	24			
≥1gb/1gb	35			

C. Project Viability & Sustainability (35 points)

The criteria evaluates the likelihood that the project can be successfully deployed and financially sustained beyond the project period. Scoring in this section will focus on how clearly the applicant identifies project sustainability.

C.1 Financial Sustainability		Maximum 15 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Demonstration of financial sustainability	 Audited financial statements and of demonstrate financial standing (which or financial records of a parent con 	n may include SEC filings,
Demonstration regarding financing viability to enable deployment today and long-term operations	documentation establishing that corp ✓ Clear identification of additional fund financing being available ✓ Detailed financial statements (casl income statement) for project pe	ling sources and proof of h flow, balance sheet,
Demonstration of the ability to obligate and expend funds by program deadlines	operational sustainability) — enab deployment stage and recurring i expenditures ✓ Degree to which the applicant dem	revenue and operating
Business case analysis to demonstrate project's financial viability	obligate and spend funds in complideadlines ✓ Detailed narrative explaining how obligate and spend funds in complideadlines	ance with the program the grant recipient will

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

✓	Key metrics regarding the financial targets required by non-
	State fund contributors and how the business plan achieves those objectives
✓	Discussion of key financial risks that could impede sustainability (e.g., revenue shortfalls, cost overruns) and mitigation strategy
✓	Discussion of plans to ensure supply-chain, available materials and workforce, and project resiliency

C.2 Organization's Capability and	Maximum 10 points		
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration		
Demonstration that the applicant and partners are strongly positioned to deploy and operate network	 ✓ Details regarding experience and ressimilar networks ✓ Details regarding key personnel (e.g., ✓ Identification of key partners, roles commitment 	qualifications, resume)	

C.3. Public-Private Partnerships	Maximum 10 points	
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Demonstration of viable public- private partnerships	✓ Details regarding public-private part implement, operate, and sustain the p	•

D. Economic Distress (40 points)

This evaluation criteria evaluates how the project will serve economically distressed areas, including rural areas of the state, as measured by the greatest percentage difference between the indices of unemployment, poverty, or population loss and the statewide average.

D.1 Economic Distress Factors	Maximum 40 Points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration
 Project targets a community in economic distress Demonstration of the level of economic distress 	 ✓ Rates for unemployment, poverty, and free/reduced lunch eligibility are more adverse than Florida statewide averages ✓ Project is a Rural Area of Opportunity as designated in 288.0656(7)(a), Florida Statutes

Economic Distress Factors

Economic Distress Factor	Points			
Entire project within Rural Area of Opportunity	25			
Poverty rate above state average	5			
Unemployment rate above state average	5			
Free/reduced lunch eligibility	5			

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

E. Funding Sources & Community Support (40 points)

The amount of the grant award to an eligible applicant of the Broadband Opportunity Program may not exceed 50 percent of the total project cost when combined with any state or local funds. With respect to cost-sharing, this evaluation criteria evaluates the percentage of non-state funding that the applicant has available to cover the cost of the project. Applicants demonstrating the ability to cover a larger percentage of the projects' total cost will receive higher points. This evaluation criteria also measures the level of community support within the proposed project area. Applicants who are able to provide quantitative/substantive evidence of community support will score higher.

E.1 Leverage of non-state funding	Maximum 20 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration
 Degree of non-state funds Viable public-private partnerships 	 ✓ Greater points will be assigned to degree of non-state funding over 50% of the total project costs as a direct indicator of the local commitment, longevity of the project, and accountability for project completion. ✓ Details regarding public-private partnerships established or the coordination between the applicant and local entities to finance, implement, operate, and sustain the project.

Non-state Funds Provided Scoring Table

Percent of eligible project costs covered by non-state funds	Points
70% or more	20
66 – 69.9%	16
63 - 65.9%	12
60 - 62.9%	8
55 – 59.9%	4
50 - 54.9%	0

E.2 Evidence of Community Support		Maximum 5 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
 Degree of breadth and depth of community support for the project Evidence of Community Support and demonstration of community engagement 	 ✓ Degree of "personalized" letters spectrum of community members ✓ Degree to which project fits into strategic plan ✓ Recent survey or other similar com or study that covers broad spectratistically meaningful results regal and project support ✓ Evidence of community outreach efficiency the project ✓ Other community feedback that she project support 	an existing community munity-focused analyses rum of community with rding level of need, gaps, forts to gauge interest in

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

E.3 Local Technology Planning Team or Broadband Committee Maximum 1		Maximum 10 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Demonstration and evidence of the establishment and/or participation in an active county or regional Local Technology Planning Team to support the expansion of broadband within the community	 ✓ Established an active Local Technolocy the project area or was an active parallel planning team ✓ Conducted and/or participated in respondent to determine the conducted or and research to determine the conducted, utilization, gaps, barriers, an 	egular team meetings community-level activities community's broadband
Demonstration of an active broadband committee guiding efforts to address broadband availability and adoption	 Degree to which the Local Tech completed, and submitted to the results of the activities and re Broadband Planning Toolkit 	Office of Broadband the

E.4 Verified Financial Commitmen Organizations	t from Community Members and	Maximum 5 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Financial investment by community members and organizations	 ✓ The degree of financial contribution members and institutions ✓ In-kind resource commitments finembers and institutions ✓ Evidence to support verification of ple ✓ Evidence to support verification of put 	rom community-based

F. Alignment with State Strategic Plan (40 points)

This evaluation criteria measures the extent to which the application and proposed project address and align with the Florida Broadband Strategic Plan's strategies for a connected economy. You may access the Florida Strategic Plan for Broadband on the Office of Broadband's website at www.floridajobs.org/broadband.

F.1 State Strategic Plan	Maximum 40 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration
Demonstration that proposed project is consistent with the Florida Strategic Plan for Broadband.	 ✓ Detailed narrative explaining how the proposed project consistent with the state's strategic plan and how the proje will address the goals, objectives, and which strategies of the plan. ✓ Degree to which the project fits into the strategic plan and other state or community plans.

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

G. Project Readiness (40 points)

This evaluation criteria assesses the readiness of a project by evaluating the details of the project schedule, design, technology, network routes, and the degree to which the project utilizes existing resources as leverage.

G.1 Detailed, Reasonable Project Schedule		Maximum 20 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
 Details regarding project schedule Details regarding how that project schedule is viable and achievable 	 ✓ Degree that project schedule is detail consistent with committed deadline ✓ Detailed narrative on project schedule ✓ Less than two years till full deployment that the committee of the c	s. ile. ent.

G.2 Detailed Engineering Design a	nd Network Map	Maximum 10 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Details regarding the technology, design, and network routes	 ✓ Clear, detailed network route map to route miles. ✓ Technology specifications that clear speeds and network indicators can be ✓ Vendors details (particularly for new applications of technology). ✓ Note: Professional Engineer, Telecommunications Engineers, or required 	rly indicate purported met. y technologies or new Society of Cable

G.3 Leveraging Existing Resources	and Operating Assets	Maximum 10 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Degree to which project leverages existing network and non-network resources	 ✓ Clear identification of network assets middle-mile, data centers, colocation f ✓ Clear description of non-network reso contributed (e.g. personnel, premises, 	acilities, towers, etc. ources and assets being

Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

H. Affordability & Adoption Assistance (20 points)

This evaluation criteria evaluates the services, activities, and strategies that will be used to actively promote the adoption and affordability of broadband service within the project area.

H.1 Affordability and Adoption Assistance		Maximum 20 points
Key Areas	Factors for Consideration	
Low price service tiers for low- income consumers, small businesses, community anchor institutions.	 ✓ An entry-level service tier(s) that probroadband for an affordable rate for special discounted rates for small but anchor institutions ✓ Special programs and partnerships 	new adopters sinesses and community
Participation in the Affordable Connectivity Program	discounts to economically disadvantage discounted devices or services, such a ✓ Participation in the Affordable Connection	ged customers, including as E-rate.
Strategies to enable adoption to general public	 ✓ Offerings that enable purchase of reasonable price points without oblig ✓ Detailed description of broadband ad- 	ations option activities planned
Program to raise awareness and adoption	for project — e.g., training, technic networks, etc. ✓ Technology strategies to enable add (e.g., community networks that provi	option to general public

Affordability and Strategies to Encourage Adoption of Broadband Services Scoring

Program	Points
Entry level service tier and/or discounted rates for low	5
income, small business and community anchor institutions	
Participation in the Affordable Connectivity Program	5
Strategies for adoption to general public	5
Programs to raise awareness and adoption.	5