DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals THE CALDWELL BUILDING 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

PETITIONER:

Employer Account No. - 3056293 ALL MED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES LLC 3 WINONA TRAIL LAKE HOPATCONG NJ 07849-1013

PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2012-6419L

RESPONDENT: State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter comes before me for final Department Order.

Having fully considered the Special Deputy's Recommended Order and the record of the case and in the absence of any exceptions to the Recommended Order, I adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as set forth therein. A copy of the Recommended Order is attached and incorporated in this Final Order.

In consideration thereof, it is ORDERED that the determination dated November 30, 2011, is REVERSED.

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Any request for judicial review must be initiated within 30 days of the date the Order was filed. Judicial review is commenced by filing one copy of a *Notice of Appeal* with the DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY at the address shown at the top of this Order and a second copy, with filing fees prescribed by law, with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. It is the responsibility of the party appealing to the Court to prepare a transcript of the record. If no court reporter was at the hearing, the transcript must be prepared from a copy of the Special Deputy's hearing recording, which may be requested from the Office of Appeals.

Cualquier solicitud para revisión judicial debe ser iniciada dentro de los 30 días a partir de la fecha en que la Orden fue registrada. La revisión judicial se comienza al registrar una copia de un *Aviso de Apelación* con la Agencia para la Innovación de la Fuerza Laboral [*DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY*] en la dirección que aparece en la parte superior de este *Orden* y una segunda copia, con los honorarios de registro prescritos por la ley, con el Tribunal Distrital de Apelaciones pertinente. Es la responsabilidad de la parte apelando al tribunal la de preparar una transcripción del registro. Si en la audiencia no se encontraba ningún estenógrafo registrado en los tribunales, la transcripción debe ser preparada de una copia de la grabación de la audiencia del Delegado Especial [*Special Deputy*], la cual puede ser solicitada de la Oficina de Apelaciones.

Nenpòt demann pou yon revizyon jiridik fèt pou l kòmanse lan yon peryòd 30 jou apati de dat ke Lòd la te depoze a. Revizyon jiridik la kòmanse avèk depo yon kopi yon *Avi Dapèl* ki voye bay DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY lan nan adrès ki parèt pi wo a, lan tèt *Lòd* sa a e yon dezyèm kopi, avèk frè depo ki preskri pa lalwa, bay Kou Dapèl Distrik apwopriye a. Se responsabilite pati k ap prezante apèl la bay Tribinal la pou l prepare yon kopi dosye a. Si pa te gen yon stenograf lan seyans lan, kopi a fèt pou l prepare apati de kopi anrejistreman seyans lan ke Adjwen Spesyal la te fè a, e ke w ka mande Biwo Dapèl la voye pou ou. DONE and ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, this _____ day of September, 2012.



Altemese Smith, Assistant Director, Reemployment Assistance Services DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

FILED ON THIS DATE PURSUANT TO § 120.52, FLORIDA STATUTES, WITH THE DESIGNATED DEPARTMENT CLERK, RECEIPT OF WHICH IS HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED.

DEPUTY CLERK

DATE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the foregoing Final Order have been furnished to the persons listed below in the manner described, on the _____ day of September, 2012.

Shinese t

SHANEDRA Y. BARNES, Special Deputy Clerk DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143 Docket No. 2012-6419L

By U.S. Mail:

ALL MED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES LLC 3 WINONA TRAIL LAKE HOPATCONG NJ 07849-1013

DIANE GILBERT 3346 39TH STREET SOUTH APT C ST PETERSBURG FL 33711 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: VANDA RAGANS - CCOC #1-4857 5050 WEST TENNESSEE STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399

DOR BLOCKED CLAIMS UNIT ATTENTION MYRA TAYLOR P O BOX 6417 TALLAHASSEE FL 32314-6417

MANNY M TARICH ESQUIRE ONE TURNBERRY PLACE STE 301 19495 BISCAYNE BLVD ADVENTURA FL 33180

State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals

MSC 347 CALDWELL BUILDING 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

PETITIONER:

Employer Account No. - 3056293 ALL MED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES LLC 3 WINONA TRAIL LAKE HOPATCONG NJ 07849-1013

PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2012-6419L

RESPONDENT: State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue

RECOMMENDED ORDER OF SPECIAL DEPUTY

TO: Assistant Director, Interim Executive Director, Reemployment Assistance Services DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

This matter comes before the undersigned Special Deputy pursuant to the Petitioner's protest of the Respondent's determination dated November 30, 2011.

After due notice to the parties, a telephone hearing was held on April 27, 2012. The Petitioner's vice president appeared and testified at the hearing. The Joined Party appeared and testified on her own behalf. A tax specialist II appeared and testified at the hearing.

The record of the case, including the recording of the hearing and any exhibits submitted in evidence, is herewith transmitted. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law were not received.

Issue:

Whether services performed for the Petitioner by the Joined Party and other individuals constitute insured employment pursuant to Sections 443.036(19), 443.036(21); 443.1216, Florida Statutes, and if so, the effective date of the liability.

Findings of Fact:

- 1. The Petitioner is a limited liability company formed in 2005 for the purpose of running a medical radiology transcription business.
- 2. The Joined Party provided services for the Petitioner, as a medical transcriptionist from 2006 through October 2011.

- 3. The Joined Party was hired as an independent contractor. In 2009 the Petitioner began categorizing the Joined Party as a 'Statutory Employee' in accordance with an Internal Revenue Service program. The Statutory Employee program allows the Petitioner to withhold some taxes on behalf of long term independent contractors. The program requires that a W-2 form be issued for the independent contractor.
- 4. The Joined Party was allowed to work for a competitor.
- 5. The Joined Party was required to sign a non-compete agreement which prohibited the Joined Party from soliciting the Petitioner's customers.
- 6. The Joined Party could work from the location of her choosing. The Joined Party could set her own hours of work.
- 7. The Joined Party was paid \$1.85 per report transcribed.
- 8. The Joined Party was required to provide all of her own materials, supplies, and equipment needed for the work.
- 9. The Joined Party would connect to the Petitioner's database and select available work. The times that the Joined Party could log into the Petitioner's database were limited. The Joined Party would submit a monthly invoice to the Petitioner.
- 10. The Joined Party's work was reviewed in the event of a customer complaint. If an error was made, the Joined Party was required to correct the error without additional compensation.

Conclusions of Law:

- 11. The issue in this case, whether services performed for the Petitioner constitute employment subject to the Florida Unemployment Compensation Law, is governed by Chapter 443, Florida Statutes. Section 443.1216(1)(a)2., Florida Statutes, provides that employment subject to the chapter includes service performed by individuals under the usual common law rules applicable in determining an employer-employee relationship.
- 12. The Supreme Court of the United States held that the term "usual common law rules" is to be used in a generic sense to mean the "standards developed by the courts through the years of adjudication." <u>United States v. W.M. Webb, Inc.</u>, 397 U.S. 179 (1970).
- The Supreme Court of Florida adopted and approved the tests in <u>1 Restatement of Law</u>, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958), for use to determine if an employment relationship exists. See <u>Cantor v.</u> <u>Cochran</u>, 184 So.2d 173 (Fla. 1966); <u>Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Kendall</u>, 88 So.2d 276 (Fla. 1956); <u>Magarian v. Southern Fruit Distributors</u>, 1 So.2d 858 (Fla. 1941); see also <u>Kane Furniture</u> <u>Corp. v. R. Miranda</u>, 506 So.2d 1061 (Fla. 2d DCA 1987).
- 14. <u>Restatement of Law</u> is a publication, prepared under the auspices of the American Law Institute, which explains the meaning of the law with regard to various court rulings. The <u>Restatement</u> sets forth a nonexclusive list of factors that are to be considered when judging whether a relationship is an employment relationship or an independent contractor relationship.
- 15. <u>1 Restatement of Law</u>, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958) provides:
 - (1) A servant is a person employed to perform services for another and who, in the performance of the services, is subject to the other's control or right of control.
 - (2) The following matters of fact, among others, are to be considered:

- (a) the extent of control which, by the agreement, the business may exercise over the details of the work;
- (b) whether or not the one employed is engaged in a distinct occupation or business;
- (c) the kind of occupation, with reference to whether, in the locality, the work is usually done under the direction of the employer or by a specialist without supervision;
- (d) the skill required in the particular occupation;
- (e) whether the employer or the worker supplies the instrumentalities, tools, and the place of work for the person doing the work;
- (f) the length of time for which the person is employed;
- (g) the method of payment, whether by the time or by the job;
- (h) whether or not the work is a part of the regular business of the employer;
- (i) whether or not the parties believe they are creating the relation of master and servant;
- (j) whether the principal is or is not in business.
- 16. Comments in the <u>Restatement</u> explain that the word "servant" does not exclusively connote manual labor, and the word "employee" has largely replaced "servant" in statutes dealing with various aspects of the working relationship between two parties. In <u>Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services v. Department of Labor & Employment Security</u>, 472 So.2d 1284 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985) the court confirmed that the factors listed in the <u>Restatement</u> are the proper factors to be considered in determining whether an employer-employee relationship exists. However, in citing <u>La Grande v. B&L Services</u>, Inc., 432 So.2d 1364, 1366 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983), the court acknowledged that the question of whether a person is properly classified an employee or an independent contractor often cannot be answered by reference to "hard and fast" rules, but rather must be addressed on a case-by-case basis.
- 17. The evidence presented in this case reveals that the Petitioner exercised limited control over when the work was performed. The Joined Party was limited in the times she could log into the Petitioner's computer system to get work. The Petitioner exercised no control over where, or how the work was performed.
- 18. The Joined Party was required to provide her own materials, supplies, and equipment needed to perform the work.
- 19. The Joined Party was paid by the job. Payment by the job tends to indicate an independent contractor relationship.
- 20. The issuance of a W-2 form is strong evidence of an employer-employee relationship. In the instant case, the Petitioner issued the W-2 form in compliance with an Internal Revenue Service program specifically designed for independent contractors. While the State of Florida has its own criteria, similar to but independent of the IRS criteria for determining independent contractor status, it would be fundamentally unfair to penalize the Petitioner for following the rules of a Federal program. As such, the analysis of the Special Deputy is based solely upon the factors of control presented in the hearing.
- 21. A preponderance of the evidence presented in this case reveals that the Petitioner did not exercise sufficient control over the Joined Party as to create an employer-employee relationship between the parties.

Recommendation: It is recommended that the determination dated November 30, 2011, be REVERSED.

8 of 9

Respectfully submitted on July 9, 2012.



KRIS LONKANI, Special Deputy Office of Appeals

A party aggrieved by the *Recommended Order* may file written exceptions to the Director at the address shown above within fifteen days of the mailing date of the *Recommended Order*. Any opposing party may file counter exceptions within ten days of the mailing of the original exceptions. A brief in opposition to counter exceptions may be filed within ten days of the mailing of the counter exceptions. Any party initiating such correspondence must send a copy of the correspondence to each party of record and indicate that copies were sent.

Una parte que se vea perjudicada por la *Orden Recomendada* puede registrar excepciones por escrito al Director Designado en la dirección que aparece arriba dentro de quince días a partir de la fecha del envío por correo de la *Orden Recomendada*. Cualquier contraparte puede registrar contra-excepciones dentro de los diez días a partir de la fecha de envió por correo de las excepciones originales. Un sumario en oposición a contra-excepciones puede ser registrado dentro de los diez días a partir de la fecha de envío por correo de las contra-excepciones. Cualquier parte que dé inicio a tal correspondencia debe enviarle una copia de tal correspondencia a cada parte contenida en el registro y señalar que copias fueron remitidas.

Yon pati ke *Lòd Rekòmande* a afekte ka prezante de eksklizyon alekri bay Direktè Adjwen an lan adrès ki parèt anlè a lan yon peryòd kenz jou apati de dat ke *Lòd Rekòmande* a te poste a. Nenpòt pati ki fè opozisyon ka prezante objeksyon a eksklizyon yo lan yon peryòd dis jou apati de lè ke objeksyon a eksklizyon orijinal yo te poste. Yon dosye ki prezante ann opozisyon a objeksyon a eksklizyon yo, ka prezante lan yon peryòd dis jou apati de dat ke objeksyon a eksklizyon yo te poste. Nenpòt pati ki angaje yon korespondans konsa dwe voye yon kopi kourye a bay chak pati ki enplike lan dosye a e endike ke yo te voye kopi yo.

SHANEDRA Y. BARNES, Special Deputy Clerk

Date Mailed: July 9, 2012 Copies mailed to: Petitioner Respondent Joined Party

DIANE GILBERT 3346 39TH STREET SOUTH APT C ST PETERSBURG FL 33711

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: VANDA RAGANS - CCOC #1 4624 5050 WEST TENNESSEE STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399

DOR BLOCKED CLAIMS UNIT ATTENTION MYRA TAYLOR P O BOX 6417 TALLAHASSEE FL 32314-6417

MANNY M TARICH ESQUIRE ONE TURNBERRY PLACE STE 301 19495 BISCAYNE BLVD ADVENTURA FL 33180