DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals THE CALDWELL BUILDING 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

PETITIONER:

Employer Account No. - 2509320 ROLANDO SANCHEZ,CPA KENDALL COFFEY PA 169 EAST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 800 MIAMI FL 33131-1296

RESPONDENT: State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2012-60775L

<u>O R D E R</u>

This matter comes before me for final Department Order.

Having fully considered the Special Deputy's Recommended Order and the record of the case and in the absence of any exceptions to the Recommended Order, I adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as set forth therein. A copy of the Recommended Order is attached and incorporated in this Final Order.

In consideration thereof, it is ORDERED that the determination dated April 12, 2012, is REVERSED.

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Any request for judicial review must be initiated within 30 days of the date the Order was filed. Judicial review is commenced by filing one copy of a *Notice of Appeal* with the DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY at the address shown at the top of this Order and a second copy, with filing fees prescribed by law, with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. It is the responsibility of the party appealing to the Court to prepare a transcript of the record. If no court reporter was at the hearing, the transcript must be prepared from a copy of the Special Deputy's hearing recording, which may be requested from the Office of Appeals.

Cualquier solicitud para revisión judicial debe ser iniciada dentro de los 30 días a partir de la fecha en que la Orden fue registrada. La revisión judicial se comienza al registrar una copia de un *Aviso de Apelación* con la Agencia para la Innovación de la Fuerza Laboral [*DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY*] en la dirección que aparece en la parte superior de este *Orden* y una segunda copia, con los honorarios de registro prescritos por la ley, con el Tribunal Distrital de Apelaciones pertinente. Es la responsabilidad de la parte apelando al tribunal la de preparar una transcripción del registro. Si en la audiencia no se encontraba ningún estenógrafo registrado en los tribunales, la transcripción debe ser preparada de una copia de la grabación de la audiencia del Delegado Especial [*Special Deputy*], la cual puede ser solicitada de la Oficina de Apelaciones.

Nenpòt demann pou yon revizyon jiridik fèt pou l kòmanse lan yon peryòd 30 jou apati de dat ke Lòd la te depoze a. Revizyon jiridik la kòmanse avèk depo yon kopi yon *Avi Dapèl* ki voye bay DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY lan nan adrès ki parèt pi wo a, lan tèt *Lòd* sa a e yon dezyèm kopi, avèk frè depo ki preskri pa lalwa, bay Kou Dapèl Distrik apwopriye a. Se responsabilite pati k ap prezante apèl la bay Tribinal la pou l prepare yon kopi dosye a. Si pa te gen yon stenograf lan seyans lan, kopi a fèt pou l prepare apati de kopi anrejistreman seyans lan ke Adjwen Spesyal la te fè a, e ke w ka mande Biwo Dapèl la voye pou ou. DONE and ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, this day of December, 2012.



Altemese Smith, Assistant Director, **Reemployment Assistance Services** DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

FILED ON THIS DATE PURSUANT TO § 120.52, FLORIDA STATUTES, WITH THE DESIGNATED DEPARTMENT CLERK, RECEIPT OF WHICH IS HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED.

DEPUTY CLERK

DATE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the foregoing Final Order have been furnished to the persons listed below in the manner described, on the _____ day of December, 2012.

Shinun D. Barns

SHANEDRA Y. BARNES, Special Deputy Clerk DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC **OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals 107 EAST MADISON STREET** TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

By U.S. Mail:

ROLANDO SANCHEZ,CPA KENDALL COFFEY PA 169 EAST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 800 MIAMI FL 33131-1296

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: VANDA RAGANS - CCOC #1-4857 5050 WEST TENNESSEE STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: CARMEN ESTALELLA, TA II 8175 NW 12TH STREET SUITE 425 MIAMI FLORIDA 33126

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: MERCEDES FAJARDO 8175 NW 12TH STREET SUITE 119 MIAMI FLORIDA 33126-1831

ROLANDO SANCHEZCPA 2665 S BAYSHORE DR STE PH1 MIAMI FL 33133-5448

State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals

MSC 347 CALDWELL BUILDING 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

PETITIONER:
Employer Account No 2509320
ROLANDO SANCHEZ,CPA
KENDALL COFFEY PA
169 EAST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 800
MIAMI FL 33131-1296

PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2012-60775L

RESPONDENT: State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue

RECOMMENDED ORDER OF SPECIAL DEPUTY

TO: Assistant Director, Executive Director, Reemployment Assistance Services DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

This matter comes before the undersigned Special Deputy pursuant to the Petitioner's protest of the Respondent's determination dated April 12, 2012

After due notice to the parties, a telephone hearing was held on October 26, 2012. The Petitioner was represented by a Certified Public Accountant. The Petitioner's President testified as a witness for the Petitioner. A worker testified as a witness for the Petitioner. The Respondent was represented by a Department of Revenue Tax Auditor.

The record of the case, including the recording of the hearing and any exhibits submitted in evidence, is herewith transmitted. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law were not received.

Issue:

Whether services performed for the petitioner constitute insured employment, and if so, the effective date of the petitioners liability, pursuant to Sections 443.036(19), (21); 443.1216, Florida Statutes.

Findings of Fact:

1. The Petitioner's president and sole shareholder is a practicing attorney, legal author, commentator, and lecturer. The Petitioner is a partner in the law firm of Coffey Burlington, PL. Additionally, the Petitioner markets and promotes the activities of its president as an author, legal analyst, and commentator.

- 2. The Petitioner utilizes a worker to perform website design and maintenance services, graphic design services, media editing services, and advertising services in connection with the marketing and promotion of the Petitioner's president's books, media appearances, and lectures. The individual is also employed as a full time paralegal for the law firm of Coffey Burlington, PL.
- 3. The worker operates a small website and graphic design business from a home office. The worker performs services for a number of clients. The worker has performed services for the Petitioner since 2002. The parties do not have a written agreement. The parties believe they have created an independent contractor relationship.
- 4. The worker receives assignments from the Petitioner. The worker determines when the work will be performed. The worker bills the Petitioner on an hourly basis, plus material cost. The Petitioner does not withhold taxes from the payments made to the worker.
- 5. The work is performed at the worker's home office. The worker furnishes the equipment, devices, software programs, and materials needed for the work.
- 6. The worker determines how the work is performed. The Petitioner does not provide any training or instruction to the worker. The Petitioner does not supervise the worker.
- 7. The worker can hire others to perform all or any portion of the work.
- 8. The Petitioner was selected by the Department of Revenue for an audit of the Petitioner's books and records for the 2010 tax year to ensure compliance with the Florida Unemployment Compensation Law.
- 9. The Petitioner's Certified Public Accountant provided the books and records and other information to the Tax Auditor by mail, e-mail, and telephone conversations. The Tax Auditor performed the audit at the office of the Department of Revenue.
- 10. At the conclusion of the audit, the Tax Auditor determined that the worker, identified in the *Standard Audit Report* as an assistant and to whom the Petitioner issued a 1099 form, was misclassified as an independent contractor and was in fact the Petitioner's employee.

Conclusions of Law:

- 11. The issue in this case, whether services performed for the Petitioner employment subject to the Florida Unemployment Compensation Law, is governed by Chapter 443, Florida Statutes. Section 443.1216(1)(a)2., Florida Statutes, provides that employment subject to the chapter includes service performed by individuals under the usual common law rules applicable in determining an employer-employee relationship.
- 12. The Supreme Court of the United States held that the term "usual common law rules" is to be used in a generic sense to mean the "standards developed by the courts through the years of adjudication." <u>United States v. W.M. Webb, Inc.</u>, 397 U.S. 179 (1970).
- The Supreme Court of Florida adopted and approved the tests in <u>1 Restatement of Law</u>, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958), for use to determine if an employment relationship exists. See <u>Cantor v</u>. <u>Cochran</u>, 184 So.2d 173 (Fla. 1966); <u>Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Kendall</u>, 88 So.2d 276 (Fla. 1956); <u>Magarian v. Southern Fruit Distributors</u>, 1 So.2d 858 (Fla. 1941); see also <u>Kane Furniture</u> <u>Corp. v. R. Miranda</u>, 506 So.2d 1061 (Fla. 2d DCA 1987).

- 14. <u>Restatement of Law</u> is a publication, prepared under the auspices of the American Law Institute, which explains the meaning of the law with regard to various court rulings. The <u>Restatement</u> sets forth a nonexclusive list of factors that are to be considered when judging whether a relationship is an employment relationship or an independent contractor relationship.
- 15. <u>1 Restatement of Law</u>, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958) provides:
 - (1) A servant is a person employed to perform services for another and who, in the performance of the services, is subject to the other's control or right of control.
 - (2) The following matters of fact, among others, are to be considered:

(a) the extent of control which, by the agreement, the business may exercise over the details of the work;

(b) whether or not the one employed is engaged in a distinct occupation or business;

(c) the kind of occupation, with reference to whether, in the locality, the work is usually done under the direction of the employer or by a specialist without supervision;

- (d) the skill required in the particular occupation;
- (e) whether the employer or the worker supplies the instrumentalities, tools, and the place of work for the person doing the work;
- (f) the length of time for which the person is employed;
- (g) the method of payment, whether by the time or by the job;
- (h) whether or not the work is a part of the regular business of the employer;
- (i) whether or not the parties believe they are creating the relation of master and servant;
- (j) whether the principal is or is not in business.
- 16. Comments in the <u>Restatement</u> explain that the word "servant" does not exclusively connote manual labor, and the word "employee" has largely replaced "servant" in statutes dealing with various aspects of the working relationship between two parties.
- 17. In Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services v. Department of Labor & Employment Security, 472 So.2d 1284 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985) the court confirmed that the factors listed in the Restatement are the proper factors to be considered in determining whether an employer-employee relationship exists. However, in citing La Grande v. B&L Services, Inc., 432 So.2d 1364, 1366 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983), the court acknowledged that the question of whether a person is properly classified an employee or an independent contractor often cannot be answered by reference to "hard and fast" rules, but rather must be addressed on a case-by-case basis.
- 18. It was shown that the intent of the parties was to create an independent contractor relationship. The Petitioner does not exercise control over the details of the work. The worker determines where, when, and how the work is to be performed. The worker furnishes the work space and all of the equipment, materials, and instrumentalities needed for the work. The worker invoices the Petitioner for her services.
- 19. It is concluded that the worker performing website and graphic design services for the Petitioner is an independent contractor and not the Petitioner's employee.

Recommendation: It is recommended that the determination dated April 12, 2012 be REVERSED.

Respectfully submitted on November 16, 2012.



SUSAN WILLIAMS, Special Deputy
Office of Appeals

A party aggrieved by the *Recommended Order* may file written exceptions to the Director at the address shown above within fifteen days of the mailing date of the *Recommended Order*. Any opposing party may file counter exceptions within ten days of the mailing of the original exceptions. A brief in opposition to counter exceptions may be filed within ten days of the mailing of the counter exceptions. Any party initiating such correspondence must send a copy of the correspondence to each party of record and indicate that copies were sent.

Una parte que se vea perjudicada por la *Orden Recomendada* puede registrar excepciones por escrito al Director Designado en la dirección que aparece arriba dentro de quince días a partir de la fecha del envío por correo de la *Orden Recomendada*. Cualquier contraparte puede registrar contra-excepciones dentro de los diez días a partir de la fecha de envió por correo de las excepciones originales. Un sumario en oposición a contra-excepciones puede ser registrado dentro de los diez días a partir de la fecha de envío por correo de las contra-excepciones. Cualquier parte que dé inicio a tal correspondencia debe enviarle una copia de tal correspondencia a cada parte contenida en el registro y señalar que copias fueron remitidas.

Yon pati ke *Lòd Rekòmande* a afekte ka prezante de eksklizyon alekri bay Direktè Adjwen an lan adrès ki parèt anlè a lan yon peryòd kenz jou apati de dat ke *Lòd Rekòmande* a te poste a. Nenpòt pati ki fè opozisyon ka prezante objeksyon a eksklizyon yo lan yon peryòd dis jou apati de lè ke objeksyon a eksklizyon orijinal yo te poste. Yon dosye ki prezante ann opozisyon a objeksyon a eksklizyon yo, ka prezante lan yon peryòd dis jou apati de dat ke objeksyon a eksklizyon yo te poste. Nenpòt pati ki angaje yon korespondans konsa dwe voye yon kopi kourye a bay chak pati ki enplike lan dosye a e endike ke yo te voye kopi yo.

Shines 5. E

SHANEDRA Y. BARNES, Special Deputy Clerk

Date Mailed: November 16, 2012 Copies mailed to: Petitioner Respondent Joined Party

> DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: VANDA RAGANS - CCOC #1-4857 5050 WEST TENNESSEE STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399

ROLANDO SANCHEZCPA 2665 S BAYSHORE DR STE PH1 MIAMI FL 33133-5448

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: CARMEN ESTALELLA, TA II 8175 NW 12TH STREET SUITE 425 MIAMI FLORIDA 33126

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: MERCEDES FAJARDO 8175 NW 12TH STREET SUITE 119 MIAMI FLORIDA 33126-1831