DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals THE CALDWELL BUILDING 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

PETITIONER:

Employer Account No. - 1563440 UNIVERSAL MEDICAL EQUIP OF PALM BEA ATTN AIDA L GARCIA PRESIDENT 3940 10TH AVENUE NORTH LAKE WORTH FL 33461-2865

PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2012-119938L

RESPONDENT:

State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue

ORDER

This matter comes before me for final Department Order.

Having fully considered the Special Deputy's Recommended Order and the record of the case and in the absence of any exceptions to the Recommended Order, I adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as set forth therein. A copy of the Recommended Order is attached and incorporated in this Final Order.

In consideration thereof, it is ORDERED that the determination dated October 11, 2012, is MODIFIED to reflect a retroactive date of January 1, 2010. As modified, it is ORDERED that the determination is AFFIRMED.

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Any request for judicial review must be initiated within 30 days of the date the Order was filed. Judicial review is commenced by filing one copy of a *Notice of Appeal* with the DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY at the address shown at the top of this Order and a second copy, with filing fees prescribed by law, with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. It is the responsibility of the party appealing to the Court to prepare a transcript of the record. If no court reporter was at the hearing, the transcript must be prepared from a copy of the Special Deputy's hearing recording, which may be requested from the Office of Appeals.

Cualquier solicitud para revisión judicial debe ser iniciada dentro de los 30 días a partir de la fecha en que la Orden fue registrada. La revisión judicial se comienza al registrar una copia de un *Aviso de Apelación* con la Agencia para la Innovación de la Fuerza Laboral [DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY] en la dirección que aparece en la parte superior de este Orden y una segunda copia, con los honorarios de registro prescritos por la ley, con el Tribunal Distrital de Apelaciones pertinente. Es la responsabilidad de la parte apelando al tribunal la de preparar una transcripción del registro. Si en la audiencia no se encontraba ningún estenógrafo registrado en los tribunales, la transcripción debe ser preparada de una copia de la grabación de la audiencia del Delegado Especial [Special Deputy], la cual puede ser solicitada de la Oficina de Apelaciones.

Nenpôt demann pou yon revizyon jiridik fêt pou l kômanse lan yon peryòd 30 jou apati de dat ke Lòd la te depoze a. Revizyon jiridik la kômanse avêk depo yon kopi yon *Avi Dapêl* ki voye bay DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY lan nan adrès ki parêt pi wo a, lan têt *Lòd* sa a e yon dezyèm kopi, avêk frè depo ki preskri pa lalwa, bay Kou Dapêl Distrik apwopriye a. Se responsabilite pati k ap prezante apêl la bay Tribinal la pou l prepare yon kopi dosye a. Si pa te gen yon stenograf lan seyans lan, kopi a fêt pou l prepare apati de kopi anrejistreman seyans lan ke Adjwen Spesyal la te fê a, e ke w ka mande Biwo Dapèl la voye pou ou.

DONE and ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, this _____ day of April, 2013.



Altemese Smith,
Bureau Chief,
Reemployment Assistance Services
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

FILED ON THIS DATE PURSUANT TO § 120.52, FLORIDA STATUTES, WITH THE DESIGNATED DEPARTMENT CLERK, RECEIPT OF WHICH IS HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED.

Shinur D. Barris	
DEPUTY CLERK	DATE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the foregoing Final Order have been furnished to the persons listed below in the manner described, on the _____ day of April, 2013.

Shenew J. Barris

SHANEDRA Y. BARNES, Special Deputy Clerk DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143 By U.S. Mail:

UNIVERSAL MEDICAL EQUIP OF PALM BEA ATTN AIDA L GARCIA PRESIDENT 3940 10TH AVENUE NORTH LAKE WORTH FL 33461-2865

HAYMEE SERRA 1694 CARIBE COURT GREEN ACRES FL 33413

> DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: PATRICIA ELKINS - CCOC #1-4866 5050 WEST TENNESSEE STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: MYRA TAYLOR P O BOX 6417 TALLAHASSEE FL 32314-6417

State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY c/o Department of Revenue

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY Reemployment Assistance Appeals

MSC 347 CALDWELL BUILDING 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

PETITIONER:

Employer Account No. - 1563440 UNIVERSAL MEDICAL EQUIP OF PALM BEA ATTN AIDA L GARCIA PRESIDENT 3940 10TH AVENUE NORTH LAKE WORTH FL 33461-2865

PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2012-119938L

RESPONDENT:

State of Florida
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC
OPPORTUNITY
c/o Department of Revenue

RECOMMENDED ORDER OF SPECIAL DEPUTY

TO: SECRETARY,

Bureau Chief,

Reemployment Assistance Services

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

This matter comes before the undersigned Special Deputy pursuant to the Petitioner's protest of the Respondent's determination dated October 11, 2012.

After due notice to the parties, a telephone hearing was held on December 20, 2012. The Petitioner, represented by the Petitioner's President, appeared and testified. The Respondent, represented by a Department of Revenue Tax Specialist II, appeared and testified. The Joined Party appeared and testified.

The record of the case, including the recording of the hearing and any exhibits submitted in evidence, is herewith transmitted. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law were not received.

Issue:

Whether services performed for the Petitioner by the Joined Party and other individuals constitute insured employment pursuant to Sections 443.036(19), 443.036(21); 443.1216, Florida Statutes, and if so, the effective date of the liability.

Findings of Fact:

- 1. The Petitioner is a corporation that has been engaged in the sale and rental of medical equipment for approximately 17 years. Some of the medical equipment is supplied to Medicare patients. Medicare regulations require the Petitioner to have someone present at its business location during business hours.
- 2. The Joined Party provided secretarial services for the Petitioner on an as-needed basis. The Joined Party's services including answering the telephone, filing, processing orders, and billing. The Petitioner was referred to the Joined Party by a friend. The parties did not enter into a written agreement. The Joined Party first performed services for the Petitioner toward the end of 2009,

Docket No. 2012-119938L 2 of 5

and last performed services for the Petitioner in August 2012. The Petitioner has utilized other workers in the past to perform similar services.

- 3. The Joined Party's services were performed at the Petitioner's business location. The Joined Party utilized the Petitioner's telephone, computer, copier, and fax machine. The Petitioner provided the Joined Party with a key to the Petitioner's office.
- 4. The Petitioner's business hours are from 9:00 a.m. or 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. The Joined Party was required to perform her services within the Petitioner's business hours, although the Joined Party did not have a regular work schedule. The Petitioner contacted the Joined Party the night before the Petitioner needed the Joined Party to work at the Petitioner's office. On occasions when the Joined Party was not available at the time requested by the Petitioner, the parties arranged an alternate day or time. The Joined Party usually worked two days per week. The Joined Party worked additional hours when the Petitioner's workload was heavier. If the Petitioner's President wanted to take a vacation, the Petitioner arranged in advance to have the Joined Party present at the business location during business hours.
- 5. The Joined Party had prior experience in the medical equipment supply business. The Petitioner's President told the Joined Party how the Petitioner wanted the telephone answered, how to perform the filing, and how to take orders for equipment.
- 6. The Petitioner's President supervised the Joined Party. The Petitioner's President told the Joined Party what work needed to be done.
- 7. The Petitioner paid the Joined Party on a weekly or bi-weekly basis, depending upon the number of hours worked. The Joined Party was paid at a rate of \$10-11 per hour. The Petitioner did not withhold taxes from the Joined Party's pay. The Petitioner reported the Joined Party's earnings on a form 1099-MISC.
- 8. The Joined Party could not subcontract the work or hire someone else to perform all or part of her services for the Petitioner.
- 9. The Joined Party did not have her own business. The Joined Party did not perform similar services for any other individual or business while performing services for the Petitioner.
- 10. Either party could terminate the relationship at any time without a penalty or liability for breach of contract.

Conclusions of Law:

- 11. The issue in this case, whether services performed for the Petitioner constitute employment subject to the Florida Reemployment Assistance Program Law, is governed by Chapter 443, Florida Statutes. Section 443.1216(1)(a)2., Florida Statutes, provides that employment subject to the chapter includes service performed by individuals under the usual common law rules applicable in determining an employer-employee relationship.
- 12. The Supreme Court of the United States held that the term "usual common law rules" is to be used in a generic sense to mean the "standards developed by the courts through the years of adjudication." <u>United States v. W.M. Webb, Inc.</u>, 397 U.S. 179 (1970).
- 13. The Supreme Court of Florida adopted and approved the tests in <u>1 Restatement of Law</u>, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958), for use to determine if an employment relationship exists. See <u>Cantor v. Cochran</u>, 184 So.2d 173 (Fla. 1966); <u>Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Kendall</u>, 88 So.2d 276 (Fla. 1956); <u>Magarian v. Southern Fruit Distributors</u>, 1 So.2d 858 (Fla. 1941); see also <u>Kane Furniture Corp. v. R. Miranda</u>, 506 So.2d 1061 (Fla. 2d DCA 1987).
- 14. <u>Restatement of Law</u> is a publication, prepared under the auspices of the American Law Institute, which explains the meaning of the law with regard to various court rulings. The <u>Restatement</u> sets

Docket No. 2012-119938L 3 of 5

forth a nonexclusive list of factors that are to be considered when judging whether a relationship is an employment relationship or an independent contractor relationship.

- 15. 1 Restatement of Law, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958) provides:
 - (1) A servant is a person employed to perform services for another and who, in the performance of the services, is subject to the other's control or right of control.
 - (2) The following matters of fact, among others, are to be considered:
 - (a) the extent of control which, by the agreement, the business may exercise over the details of the work;
 - (b) whether or not the one employed is engaged in a distinct occupation or business;
 - (c) the kind of occupation, with reference to whether, in the locality, the work is usually done under the direction of the employer or by a specialist without supervision;
 - (d) the skill required in the particular occupation;
 - (e) whether the employer or the worker supplies the instrumentalities, tools, and the place of work for the person doing the work;
 - (f) the length of time for which the person is employed;
 - (g) the method of payment, whether by the time or by the job;
 - (h) whether or not the work is a part of the regular business of the employer;
 - (i) whether or not the parties believe they are creating the relation of master and servant;
 - (j) whether the principal is or is not in business.
- 16. Comments in the <u>Restatement</u> explain that the word "servant" does not exclusively connote manual labor, and the word "employee" has largely replaced "servant" in statutes dealing with various aspects of the working relationship between two parties.
- 17. In <u>Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services v. Department of Labor & Employment Security</u>, 472 So.2d 1284 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985) the court confirmed that the factors listed in the <u>Restatement</u> are the proper factors to be considered in determining whether an employer-employee relationship exists. However, in citing <u>La Grande v. B&L Services</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 432 So.2d 1364, 1366 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983), the court acknowledged that the question of whether a person is properly classified an employee or an independent contractor often cannot be answered by reference to "hard and fast" rules, but rather must be addressed on a case-by-case basis.
- 18. The Petitioner's operates a medical equipment supply business. The Joined Party performed secretarial services for the business. The Joined Party's services were not separate and distinct from the Petitioner's business, but were an integral and necessary part of the business.
- 19. The record reflects the Petitioner exercised significant control over the details of the work. The Petitioner determined what work was performed, where the work was performed, how the work was performed, and when the work was performed. The Petitioner assigned specific tasks to the Joined Party and provided instructions as to how the Joined Party was to perform the work. The Joined Party's work was supervised. The Joined Party was required to perform her work during the Petitioner's regular business hours. The Petitioner supplied the work space and equipment needed for the work. The Joined Party was required to personally perform the work. In Adams v. Department of Labor and Employment Security, 458 So.2d 1161 (Fla. 1st DCA 1984), the Court held that the basic test for determining a worker's status is the employing unit's right of control over the manner in which the work is performed. The Court, quoting Farmer's and Merchant's Bank v. Vocelle, 106 So.2d 92 (Fla. 1st DCA 1958), stated: "[I]f the person serving is merely subject to the control of the person being served as to the results to be obtained, he is an independent contractor; if he is subject to the control of the person being served as to the means to be used, he is not an independent contractor."
- 20. Everything that was needed for the Joined Party to perform the work was provided by the Petitioner.

Docket No. 2012-119938L 4 of 5

21. The Joined Party was paid hourly rather than by the job. The Joined Party did not invoice for her services. The fact that the Petitioner did not withhold payroll taxes from the pay does not, standing alone, establish an independent contractor relationship.

- 22. Either party could terminate the relationship at any time without incurring liability. In <u>Cantor v. Cochran</u>, 184 So.2d 173 (Fla. 1966), the court, quoting <u>1Larson</u>, <u>Workmens'Compensation Law</u>, Section 44.35, stated: "The absolute right to terminate the relationship without liability is not consistent with the concept of independent contractor, under which the contractor should have the legal right to complete the project contracted for and to treat any attempt to prevent completion as a breach of contract."
- 23. In <u>Adams v. Department of Labor and Security</u>, 458 So.2d 1161 (Fla. 1st DCA 1984), the court determined the Department had the authority to make a determination applicable not only to the worker whose unemployment benefit application initiated the investigation, but to all similarly situated workers. It is concluded that the services performed for the Petitioner by the Joined Party and others as a secretary constitute insured employment.
- 24. The determination of the Department of Revenue is retroactive to April 1, 2011. The testimony of the parties established that the Joined Party began performing services for the Petitioner at least as early as January 1, 2010. Therefore, the correct retroactive date should be January 1, 2010.

Recommendation: It is recommended that the determination dated October 11, 2012, be MODIFIED to reflect a retroactive date of January 1, 2010. As MODIFIED, it is recommended that the determination be AFFIRMED.

Respectfully submitted on March 11, 2013.



SUSAN WILLIAMS, Special Deputy Office of Appeals

A party aggrieved by the *Recommended Order* may file written exceptions to the Director at the address shown above within fifteen days of the mailing date of the *Recommended Order*. Any opposing party may file counter exceptions within ten days of the mailing of the original exceptions. A brief in opposition to counter exceptions may be filed within ten days of the mailing of the counter exceptions. Any party initiating such correspondence must send a copy of the correspondence to each party of record and indicate that copies were sent.

Una parte que se vea perjudicada por la *Orden Recomendada* puede registrar excepciones por escrito al Director Designado en la dirección que aparece arriba dentro de quince días a partir de la fecha del envío por correo de la *Orden Recomendada*. Cualquier contraparte puede registrar contra-excepciones dentro de los diez días a partir de la fecha de envió por correo de las excepciones originales. Un sumario en oposición a contra-excepciones puede ser registrado dentro de los diez días a partir de la fecha de envío por correo de las contra-excepciones. Cualquier parte que dé inicio a tal correspondencia debe enviarle una copia de tal correspondencia a cada parte contenida en el registro y señalar que copias fueron remitidas.

Yon pati ke *Lòd Rekòmande* a afekte ka prezante de eksklizyon alekri bay Direktè Adjwen an lan adrès ki parèt anlè a lan yon peryòd kenz jou apati de dat ke *Lòd Rekòmande* a te poste a. Nenpòt pati ki fè opozisyon ka prezante objeksyon a eksklizyon yo lan yon peryòd dis jou apati de lè ke objeksyon a eksklizyon orijinal yo te poste. Yon dosye ki prezante ann opozisyon a objeksyon a eksklizyon yo, ka prezante lan yon peryòd dis jou apati de dat ke objeksyon a eksklizyon yo te poste. Nenpòt pati ki angaje yon korespondans konsa dwe voye yon kopi kourye a bay chak pati ki enplike lan dosye a e endike ke yo te voye kopi yo.

Docket No. 2012-119938L 5 of 5



Date Mailed: March 11, 2013

Copies mailed to:

Petitioner Respondent Joined Party

HAYMEE SERRA 1694 CARIBE COURT GREEN ACRES FL 33413 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: PATRICIA ELKINS - CCOC #1-4866 5050 WEST TENNESSEE STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ATTN: MYRA TAYLOR P O BOX 6417 TALLAHASSEE FL 32314-6417