## AGENCY FOR WORKFORCE INNOVATION TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

**PETITIONER:** Employer Account No. - 2829431 EASY HOME CARE SERVICES INC 340 E 53RD ST HIALEAH FL 33013-1525

**RESPONDENT:** State of Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation c/o Department of Revenue

### PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2010-59946L

# <u>O R D E R</u>

This matter comes before me for final Agency Order.

Having fully considered the Special Deputy's Recommended Order and the record of the case and in the absence of any exceptions to the Recommended Order, I adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as set forth therein. A copy of the Recommended Order is attached and incorporated in this Final Order.

In consideration thereof, it is ORDERED that the determination dated March 22, 2010, is AFFIRMED.

DONE and ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2011.



TOM CLENDENNING Assistant Director AGENCY FOR WORKFORCE INNOVATION

## AGENCY FOR WORKFORCE INNOVATION Unemployment Compensation Appeals

MSC 345 CALDWELL BUILDING 107 EAST MADISON STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-4143

#### **PETITIONER:**

Employer Account No. - 2829431 EASY HOME CARE SERVICES INC FELICIA M VILLALOBOS 340 E 53RD ST HIALEAH FL 33013-1525

#### PROTEST OF LIABILITY DOCKET NO. 2010-59946L

**RESPONDENT:** State of Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation c/o Department of Revenue

# **RECOMMENDED ORDER OF SPECIAL DEPUTY**

TO: Assistant Director Agency for Workforce Innovation

This matter comes before the undersigned Special Deputy pursuant to the Petitioner's protest of the Respondent's determination dated March 22, 2010.

After due notice to the parties, a telephone hearing was held on January 11, 2011. The Petitioner's president appeared and testified at the hearing. The Joined Party appeared and testified on her own behalf. A tax auditor II appeared and testified on behalf of the Respondent.

The record of the case, including the recording of the hearing and any exhibits submitted in evidence, is herewith transmitted. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law were not received.

#### **Issue:**

Whether services performed for the Petitioner by the Joined Party and other individuals constitute insured employment pursuant to Sections 443.036(19), 443.036(21); 443.1216, Florida Statutes, and if so, the effective date of the liability.

#### **Findings of Fact:**

- 1. The Petitioner is a subchapter S corporation, incorporated December 27, 2006, for the purpose of running a home health care agency.
- 2. The Joined Party provided services to the Petitioner as a secretary from January 20, 2009, through November 13, 2009.

- 3. The Joined Party was required to report to work at the place of business at 8:30 a.m. each day. The Joined Party would work until 5:00 p.m. or until the Petitioner allowed the Joined Party to leave for the day.
- 4. The Petitioner provided training to the Joined Party. The Petitioner supervised and instructed the Joined Party throughout the day.
- 5. The Petitioner provided a workspace for the Joined Party. The Petitioner provided all of the tools and materials needed to perform the work.
- 6. The Joined Party was paid \$7.50 per hour by the Petitioner. The rate of pay was set by the Petitioner. The Petitioner kept track of the hours worked by the Joined Party.

### **Conclusions of Law:**

- 7. The issue in this case, whether services performed for the Petitioner constitute employment subject to the Florida Unemployment Compensation Law, is governed by Chapter 443, Florida Statutes. Section 443.1216(1)(a)2., Florida Statutes, provides that employment subject to the chapter includes service performed by individuals under the usual common law rules applicable in determining an employer-employee relationship.
- 8. The Supreme Court of the United States held that the term "usual common law rules" is to be used in a generic sense to mean the "standards developed by the courts through the years of adjudication." <u>United States v. W.M. Webb, Inc.</u>, 397 U.S. 179 (1970).
- The Supreme Court of Florida adopted and approved the tests in <u>1 Restatement of Law</u>, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958), for use to determine if an employment relationship exists. See <u>Cantor v.</u> <u>Cochran</u>, 184 So.2d 173 (Fla. 1966); <u>Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Kendall</u>, 88 So.2d 276 (Fla. 1956); <u>Magarian v. Southern Fruit Distributors</u>, 1 So.2d 858 (Fla. 1941); see also <u>Kane Furniture</u> <u>Corp. v. R. Miranda</u>, 506 So.2d 1061 (Fla. 2d DCA 1987).
- 10. <u>Restatement of Law</u> is a publication, prepared under the auspices of the American Law Institute, which explains the meaning of the law with regard to various court rulings. The <u>Restatement</u> sets forth a nonexclusive list of factors that are to be considered when judging whether a relationship is an employment relationship or an independent contractor relationship.
- 11. <u>1 Restatement of Law</u>, Agency 2d Section 220 (1958) provides:
  - (1) A servant is a person employed to perform services for another and who, in the performance of the services, is subject to the other's control or right of control.
  - (2) The following matters of fact, among others, are to be considered:
    - (a) the extent of control which, by the agreement, the business may exercise over the details of the work;
    - (b) whether or not the one employed is engaged in a distinct occupation or business;
    - (c) the kind of occupation, with reference to whether, in the locality, the work is usually done under the direction of the employer or by a specialist without supervision;
    - (d) the skill required in the particular occupation;
    - (e) whether the employer or the worker supplies the instrumentalities, tools, and the place of work for the person doing the work;
    - (f) the length of time for which the person is employed;
    - (g) the method of payment, whether by the time or by the job;
    - (h) whether or not the work is a part of the regular business of the employer;
    - (i) whether or not the parties believe they are creating the relation of master and servant;

- (j) whether the principal is or is not in business.
- 12. Comments in the <u>Restatement</u> explain that the word "servant" does not exclusively connote manual labor, and the word "employee" has largely replaced "servant" in statutes dealing with various aspects of the working relationship between two parties. In <u>Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services v. Department of Labor & Employment Security</u>, 472 So.2d 1284 (Fla. 1<sup>st</sup> DCA 1985) the court confirmed that the factors listed in the <u>Restatement</u> are the proper factors to be considered in determining whether an employer-employee relationship exists. However, in citing <u>La Grande v. B&L Services, Inc.</u>, 432 So.2d 1364, 1366 (Fla. 1<sup>st</sup> DCA 1983), the court acknowledged that the question of whether a person is properly classified an employee or an independent contractor often cannot be answered by reference to "hard and fast" rules, but rather must be addressed on a case-by-case basis.
- 13. The evidence presented in this hearing reveals that the Petitioner controlled where, when, and how the Joined Party would perform the work. The Petitioner controlled the schedule and location of the work. The Petitioner provided instruction, guidance, and supervision to the Joined Party throughout the work day.
- 14. The Petitioner provided training to the Joined Party. The Petitioner provided a workspace as well as all of the materials and equipment needed to perform the work.
- 15. The Joined Party was paid an hourly rate. The method of pay is not indicative of an independent contractor relationship. The Petitioner unilaterally controlled the rate of pay.
- 16. A preponderance of the evidence presented in this case reveals that the Petitioner established sufficient control over the Joined Party as to create an employer-employee relationship between the parties.

**Recommendation:** It is recommended that the determination dated March 22, 2010, be AFFIRMED. Respectfully submitted on March 10, 2011.



KRIS LONKANI, Special Deputy Office of Appeals